



CORRUPTION | COVID-19 | HOAX PANDEMIC | PROPAGANDA EXPOSURE | THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

Journalistic Integrity at the BBC (Open Letter to Director General and Editor in Chief, BBC Broadcasting House)

25 MAY 2021

The Correspondence Journal



View volumes 1 & 2 of our

Correspondence Journal.

As those who are frequent visitors to BGB will be aware, hundreds of articles of correspondence have been sent out by us over the last sixteen months, to various governments, organisations and individuals involved in the Covid-19 hoax pandemic. Many are Freedom of Information Requests (FOI) others have been pointed and probing questions - it is called "Holding Officialdom To Account". If you read the responses, you will have noticed the ducking, weaving, misleading and often blatant lies that have been sent back as responses to BGB's correspondence - from many of the scoundrels involved in this fraud. Some are just doing their robotic job in batting away awkward questions (the "I'm only following orders" - Nuremberg 1947 excuse). Others further up the compartmentalised triangle know exactly what they are doing, and issue orders from those further up again in the corrupt pyramid. Those are the ones who have been orchestrating the actions of corrupt governments, organisations and filling the pockets of the gigantic Big Pharma and Big Tech global companies.

Open Letter To Tim Davie The Director General Of The BBC

The latest correspondence (CJ 141) that was distributed yesterday via e-mail was to Mr. Davie. As it's one of the more important, and key correspondences that we have sent out, it's felt that it needs to be included in a post, rather than just hidden in our Journal. Many who rely solely on the likes of the BBC for their information, will realise that the source of their false news, is the fear propaganda mainstream media. They need to be halted and exposed for what they are. People need to wake up to what is really going on.

Index
No.

To: **Open letter to: Director General and Editor in Chief, BBC Broadcasting House, Portland Place, London.**

141

Subject: **Journalistic Integrity at the BBC**

Director General and Editor in Chief

BBC Broadcasting House

Portland Place

London

W1A 1AA

Date: 24 05 2021

Dear Tim Davie

Subject: Journalistic Integrity at the BBC

The question of journalistic integrity at the BBC is currently in sharp focus following publication of the report by retired supreme court

judge Lord Dyson. While you, in your role as “Director General and Editor in Chief”, reflect on Lord Dyson’s findings, I would be grateful if you could consider commissioning another investigation to establish whether factually correct information has been presented to the general public by the BBC during the coronavirus crisis. Areas of particular concern are listed below.

1. The failure to report, in daily news bulletins, the number of severe adverse reactions [including death] following roll-out of the experimental gene-editing treatments [vaccines] for Covid 19. The latest information published on UK Government website [administered by the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency {MHRA}] and European Medical Regulator is set out in Annex 01 below. This information is readily available to your Health Editor Hugh Pym and Medical Editor Fergus Walsh. Factually correct information would enable the public to take informed decisions.
2. The failure to report in news bulletins the current position in respect of ongoing litigation regarding the management of the coronavirus crisis in the UK and around the world. The current position with regard to Coronavirus-related global lawsuits is set out in Annex 02 below.
3. The funding received by the BBC from the “Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation” [B M G F] believed to be in the region of £53 million. The B M G F has substantial investments in pharmaceutical companies developing and delivering experimental gene-editing treatments for Covid 19. Any investigation should aim to establish whether there is a conflict of interest that could impact on the BBC’s impartiality in presenting information to the public.

I look forward to your observations at your earliest convenience and confirmation whether you consider an internal or external investigation is now necessary to establish whether factually correct information has been provided to the general public in BBC news bulletins during the Coronavirus crisis. Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Wynne Jones

(BGB Correspondence Journal Editor)

Stakeholders [for information]

cc UK Parliament Public Accounts Committee

cc National Audit Office

cc Rt Hon Steven Baker MP – Steering Committee – Covid Recovery Group

Annex 01

United Kingdom MHRA “yellow card” monitoring system: data to 6 May 2021

Total adverse reactions **826,350** with **1,143** fatal outcomes, itemised as follows

AstraZeneca 662,176 adverse reactions, 756 deaths

Pfizer 159,219 adverse reactions, 370 deaths

Moderna 3,056 adverse reactions, 2 deaths

Unspecified 1,899 adverse reactions, 15 deaths.

EudraVigilance European database: data to 8 May 2021

405,259 injuries, **10,570** deaths: following receipt of Moderna, Pfizer, AstraZeneca and Janssen mRNA / DNA based experimental gene-editing treatments.

Annex 02

Chronology of Coronavirus-related global lawsuits

19 May 2021

Martin Ackermann, head of the Swiss Covid 19 Science Task Force [the equivalent of SAGE in the UK] indicted by five organisations and seven private individuals for criminal attempted and actual intimidation of the people contrary to the constitution. Criminal charges include:

- **repeated bogus prognosis**
- **systematic manipulation of intensive-care bed data**
- **false pronouncements on hospitalisation and death rates**
- **constantly changing measures as best suits the narrative.**

15 May 2021

“Statement of Case” alleging pandemic fraud against Hancock, Whitty, Vallance and Ferguson was filed electronically at Westminster Magistrates Court on 19 March 2021, along with a covering letter informing the court that the substantive evidence bundle would be sent by Royal Mail Special Delivery the following week, including hard copies of the “Statement of Case” and the covering letter. On 26 March 2021 the three case files were received and signed for by the

court. The judge purported to dismiss the case on the emphatically unsustainable ground that not enough prima facie evidence had been presented for the case to proceed. When it became clear that the judge's decision was based on the 126 page "Statement of Case" and that he had not had sight of the supporting evidence of 800 pages which included 11 expert witness statements in support of the serious allegations made, the judge indicated that he would be reconsidering the case upon all the evidence adduced at the end of next week, with his final decision to follow shortly afterwards. The judge's second decision is awaited.

11 May 2021

The legal team representing America's Frontline Doctors is filing cases in court to prevent the Food and Drugs Administration [FDA] from issuing an "Emergency Use Authorisation" [EUA] for the experimental Covid 19 gene-editing treatment for 12 to 15 year old children. The FDA proposes to expand the EUA for Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine to enrol adolescents, ages 12-15. Scientists around the world have noted extreme danger and believe **the danger is even greater for young people** due to their stronger immune systems. There are now over 4,100 deaths associated with the experimental Covid 19 gene-editing treatments. By comparison, an experimental vaccine rollout in the USA in 1976 was permanently halted after just 25 deaths. Critical testing and clinical trials were bypassed. The average length of time required to approve a vaccine is 10-15 years but now, barely six months in, the FDA is poised to test it on children and then request universal approval. Independent scientists from all over the world are

protesting, but they are being ignored. The lives of America's youth are now at grave risk over an experimental injection for a virus which is 99.97% survivable. Children are not affected by Covid, so why should they receive an experimental gene-editing treatment. Parents, teachers, doctors, and all others who can attest to harm, injury, or death from vaccines already administered will provide the evidence to save children from being injected with these dangerous and unnecessary biological agents. Further updates will be provided as litigation now progresses.

20 April 2021

In a 27-page submission, a 'Request for Investigation' of the UK Government and its advisers, for genocide, crimes against humanity and breaches of the Nuremberg Code, was issued to the International Criminal Court [ICC] at the Hague. Compelling reasons as to why the UK Government and its advisers are guilty of the above charges was submitted. The ICC will review the 'Request for Investigation' and assess whether they believe there is a reasonable basis to proceed with an investigation into a 'Situation', pursuant to the criteria established by the Rome Statute. The ICC does not provide a timeline regarding acceptance, nor is there any guarantee that they will ultimately accept the 'Request for Investigation' due to a variety of reasons, including the fact that they are limited in their capacity to conduct investigations.

19 April 2021

Private Criminal Prosecution [PCP] alleging pandemic fraud is set to be listed for hearing at Bromley Magistrates Court. Effectively, this means

that the case files contained enough prima facie evidence of pandemic fraud for Westminster to transfer the case to Bromley, for the purposes of performing the final legal checks [the initial checks having been done by the south London court's legal department] and listing the first hearing of the case at the Kent court.

24 March 2021

The Vienna Administrative Court judgment VGW-103/048/3227/2021-2 ruled on a complaint filed by the Freedom Party of Austria [FPO] against what it considered a grossly illegal ban on a registered rally. In its ruling, the court rejected the Corona policy of the federal government. Citing internationally recognized experts, studies and the World Health Organization, the court found that the Minister of Health, Anshober's, disease definitions were wrong and that a PCR test for Covid-19 diagnosis was unsuitable. The PCR test is destroyed as a credible determinant of Covid illness. Antigen tests are also deemed not credible. Certified medical doctors alone are able to determine specific cases of illness. The Vienna Administrative Court examined closely the basis for the Austrian federal government's policy and found that definition of illness from the Ministry of Health alone is completely wrong and baseless. Confirmed Covid 19 "case" is defined 23 December 2020 as:

- 1] Any person with detection of SARS-CoV-2 specific nucleic acid [PCR test], regardless of clinical manifestation, or
- 2] Any person, with detection of SARS-CoV specific antigen, who fulfils the clinical criteria, or
- 3] Any person, with detection of SARS-CoV specific antigen, who fulfils the epidemiological criteria.

None of the three “confirmed cases” defined by the Minister of Health meet the requirements of the World Health Organisation [WHO] term “**ill / infected person.**” The sole reliance on the PCR test (confirmed case 1) is rejected by the WHO. The Health Service of the City of Vienna uses the words “case numbers,” “test results,” “case incidence,” as well as “number of infections.” This jumbling of terms does not do justice to a scientific assessment of the epidemic situation. For the WHO, the decisive factor is the number of **infections / illnesses** and not the number of people tested positive or other “case numbers”. This is similar to a ruling made by a Portuguese appeals court in November 2020 that the tests are unreliable and that it is unlawful to quarantine people based on test result. The verdict can still be appealed to the Constitutional Court or an extraordinary appeal can be filed with the Administrative Court.

19 March 2021

Private Criminal Prosecution [PCP] papers alleging pandemic fraud were laid electronically at a south London Magistrates Court, against Matt Hancock, Chris Whitty, Patrick Vallance and Neil Ferguson. The Court will receive a 1,200 page bundle of evidence, which includes expert witness statements from two professors, three doctors, a dental surgeon, a probate solicitor, a mathematician, a retired nuclear submarine data analyst, an independent data analyst and a former CID fraud detective. In addition to the charges of fraud by false representation and non-disclosure, in material breaches of sections 2 and 3 of the Fraud Act 2006, the action [instigated by Michael O’Bernecia] is informally applying for a declaration, under the inherent

powers of the court, which states that autopsies are to be carried out for all alleged Covid deaths, which will be held as evidence in the forthcoming trial, on the ground that expert witness testimony is available of the falsification of death certificates, as per UK Government policy. Additionally, a request is being made for a moratorium on the UK influenza and Covid 'vaccinations' programmes to be declared for period of at least 90 days, in order to definitively establish whether it is Covid 19 or 'vaccines' that are killing people at a minimum mortality rate of 377 per 100,000 healthy adults, as per the leaked WHO approved 'vaccine' safety study which is being included as evidence. The court previously requested more prima facie evidence when the last application in late 2020 was made, seeking the arrest of Matt Hancock for fraud by non-disclosure over the declassification of Covid 19 by the ACDP.

11 January 2021

Legal proceedings under Class Proceedings Act 1992 initiated on behalf of plaintiffs at Ontario Superior Court of Justice alleging, inter alia, crimes against humanity and war crimes. Court reference CV-21-000085478-00CP. Defendants include: Pope Francis, The Holy See, The State of the Vatican, The Society of Jesus, H M Queen Elizabeth II, The Order of the Garter, The House of Windsor, Global Vaccine Alliance [GAVI], the United Nations World Health Organisation, Public Health Organisation of Canada, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, Dr Theresa Tam, Premier Doug Ford, Christine Elliot, Mayor Jim Watson, Attorney General of Canada, Attorney General for Ontario. The action alleges, inter alia, that the defendants are vicariously liable for knowingly and wilfully advancing, promoting,

adopting and manufacturing Covid 19 protocols, task force response, and medical protocols which violate terms and provisions of the “Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act“. Under the action the plaintiffs and Class Members seek damages for breach of domestic torts such as negligence, breach of fiduciary duty, malfeasance in office, unlawful confinement, and conspiracy. The plaintiffs and Class Members also seek damages for breaches of customary international law, prohibitions against crimes against humanity, cruel, inhuman or degrading behaviour, and torts of genocide and apartheid.

15 December 2020

“Cease and Desist” papers served on Dr Christian Drosten regarding the fraudulent content of the “Corman – Drosten paper” on RT-PCR tests, by Dr Reiner Fuellmich [Dr in Law] who leads a team of 34 lawyers prosecuting global officials over Covid 19.

25 November 2020

First lawsuit in a multi-lawsuit strategy filed in Germany. Fact checkers are being sued regarding validity of RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 virus. Dr Reimer Fuellmich working with the “Coronavirus Investigation Committee” in Germany. Cases also filed in U S Courts as they have better separation between the legislature and the legal system than courts in Europe.

11 November 2020

An appeals court in Portugal has ruled that the RT-PCR process is not a reliable test for Sars-Cov-2 (the purported cause of the Covid-19 disease [which has not been isolated or identified with a compiled genome available], and therefore any enforced quarantine based on

those test results is unlawful. Further, the ruling suggested that any forced quarantine applied to healthy people could be a violation of their fundamental right to liberty. Most importantly, the judges ruled that a single positive PCR test cannot be used as an effective diagnosis of infection.

2 September 2020

191 - page lawsuit filed by "Rocco Galati" against multiple levels of the Canadian Government regarding their management of the alleged pandemic. The following are named in his lawsuit: Justin Trudeau, Theresa Tam, Doug Ford, John Tory, and a host of other federal, provincial and municipal government officials. The lawsuit seeks several official declarations from the courts that pandemic measures are neither scientific or medically-based, that they are extreme, irrational, and unwarranted and that they breach multiple sections of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. He is also seeking damages from the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation [CBC].