



LIGHT

December 2020

A Truthpaper

thelightpaper.co.uk

Free



Interview With Dr. Vernon Coleman

'The Most Important Battle Any Of Us Has Ever Fought' PAGE 8

Vaccine Trials Answer Few Questions: BMJ

The world has bet the farm on vaccines as the solution to the pandemic, but the trials are not focused on answering the questions many might assume they are.

by **PETER DOSHI**

firstly, reduce the likelihood you will get severely ill and go to the hospital, and secondly, prevent infection authorisation.

But that's not right. In all the ongoing phase III trials for which details have been released, laboratory confirmed infections even with only and over. Because most people with symptomatic covid-19 experience only mild symptoms, even trials involving 30,000 or more patients would turn up relatively few cases of severe disease.

In the trials, final efficacy analyses are planned after just 150 to 160 "events,"—that is, a positive indication of symptomatic covid-19, regardless of severity of the illness.

Yet until vaccine manufacturers began to release their study protocols in mid-September, trial registries and other publicly released information did little to dispel the notion that it was severe covid-19 that the trials were assessing.

Moderna, for example, called hospital admissions a "key secondary endpoint" in statements to the media. And a press release from the US National Institute of Health reinforced this impression, stating that Moderna's trial "aims to study whether the vaccine can prevent severe covid-19" and "seeks to answer if the vaccine can

prevent death caused by covid-19."

But Tal Zaks, chief medical officer at Moderna, told The BMJ that the company's trial lacks adequate statistical power to assess those outcomes. "The trial is precluded from judging [hospital admissions], based on what is a reasonable size and duration to serve the public good here," he said.

Hospital admissions and deaths from covid-19 are simply too uncommon in the population being studied for an effective vaccine to demonstrate statistically significant differences in a trial of 30,000 people. The same is true of its ability to save lives or prevent transmission: the trials are not designed to find out.

"Our trial will not demonstrate prevention of transmission," Zaks said, "because in order to do that you have to swab people twice a week for very long periods, and that becomes operationally untenable."

https://bit.ly/bmj-vax-trials



As phase III trials of covid-19 vaccines reach their target enrolments, officials have been trying to project calm. The US coronavirus czar Anthony Fauci and the Food and Drug Administration leadership have offered public assurances that established procedures will be followed. Only a "safe and effective" vaccine will be approved, they say, and nine vaccine manufacturers issued a rare joint statement pledging not to prematurely seek regulatory review.

But what will it mean exactly when a vaccine is declared 'effective?' To the public this seems fairly obvious. "The primary goal of a covid-19 vaccine is to keep people from getting very sick and dying," a National Public Radio broadcast said bluntly.

Peter Hotez, Dean of the National School of Tropical Medicine at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, said, "ideally, you want an antiviral vaccine to do two things; and therefore interrupt disease transmission."

Yet the current phase III trials are not actually set up to prove either. None of the trials currently under way are designed to detect a reduction in any serious outcome such as hospital admissions, use of intensive care, or deaths. Nor are the vaccines being studied to determine whether they can interrupt transmission of the virus.

In a September interview
Medscape editor-in-chief Eric
Topol pondered what counts as a
recorded "event" in the vaccine trials.
"We're not talking about just a PCR
[polymerase chain reaction test]—
positive mild infection. It has to be
moderate to severe illness to qualify
as an event, correct?" he asked.

"That's right," concurred his guest, Paul Offit, a vaccinologist who sits on the FDA Advisory Committee that may ultimately recommend the vaccines for licence or emergency use mild symptoms qualify as meeting the primary endpoint definition.

In Pfizer and Moderna's trials, for example, people with only a cough and positive laboratory test would bring those trials one event closer to their completion. (If AstraZeneca's ongoing UK trial is designed similarly to its "paused" US trial for which the company has released details, a cough and fever with positive PCR test would suffice.)

Part of the reason may be numbers Severe illness requiring hospital admission, which happens in only a small fraction of symptomatic covid-19 cases, would be unlikely to occur in significant numbers in trials. Data published by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in late April reported a symptomatic case hospitalisation ratio of 3.4% overall, varying from 1.7% in 0-49 year olds and 4.5% in 50-64 year olds to 7.4% in those 65

The 12 (Not 5!) Days of Christmas

Christmas is upon us once again and I can't help but think about how different it will be this year.

Growing up I had fond memories of carol singing door-to-door with a small group of pals. If we were lucky a performance could usually earn us a few coppers though every now and again somebody would tip us a couple of bob (2/- or 10p) and we'd have been over the moon with such a windfall. I should add that their generosity was perhaps more out of pity than our ability to sing. None of

by **RHISIART AP ROY**

us could really sing and we'd often forget our words but we'd make a concerted effort to reach a crescendo in joyful unison during the final chorus. Nothing really mattered other than having some fun and keeping the tradition alive. It was the spirit of Christmas which bonded both singer and listener together and it was always exciting if not a little embarrassing at times.

Continued on page 2 >>

UK NEWS

There's Nothing Great About This Reset



UK NEWS

Censoring The Vaccine Debate Will Backfire



PAGE 4

Santa Claus Facing Travel Restrictions This Xmas

UK NEWS



PAGE 5

Life In Sweden Is 'The Old Normal'

INTERNATIONAL



PAGE 12

ECONOMY

Central Banks Start Move Into Digital Currencies



PAGE 14

Pasteur's Germ Theory vs Béchamp's Terrain

HEALTH



Theory PAGE 16

THE LIGHT Truthpaper

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free from the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is © 2020 thelightpaper.co.uk

For all distribution enquiries, please email: lightdistribution@mailbox.org

For submissions, advertising, careers, donations, etc., please visit our website at https://thelightpaper.co.uk

To subscribe for postal copies: https://thelightpaper.co.uk/subscribe

Sound off in our forum: https://thelightpaper.co.uk/forum

Editor: Darren Smith Layout: Ian Smith

Administration: Cath Smith & Matt Smith

Advertising: Nicola Smith **Subscriptions:** Roz Smith

Proof-Reading: Karen, Gill, Romilly, Tracy & Nicola Smith Lighthouse logo: Artist Samantha Smith and Adrian Smith Photographic

Special thanks to our warehouse hubs, and all those giving their valuable time to distribute The Light around the country.

Thank you also to all those who have generously donated to make this truthpaper a reality. Although the paper is **FREE**, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it.

If you believe in what we do, please help the truth be told by supporting us.

Donate at thelightpaper.co.uk – Thank You.

The Twelve Days of Christmas (2020)

>> Continued from page 1

Alas those days seem to have faded into obscurity. Our way of life today looks completely different to the carefree world of yesteryear.

As I dwell on what was, I can imagine carol singers today wouldn't be out of place applying these lyrics to 'The Twelve Days of Christmas' as those who rule the nations, (whatever you want to call them), attempt to usher in their dystopian agenda, (whatever you want to call it), under the guise of a fake pandemic.

So let us sing together while we still can:

"On the first day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, a fake test for covid-19.

On the second day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, two metre rules and a fake test for covid-19.

On the third day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, three

layered masks, two metre rules and a fake test for covid-19.

On the fourth day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, four in a bubble...

On the fifth day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, five brand new rules...

On the sixth day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, mad rules of six...

On the seventh day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, seven drones a spying...

On the eighth day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, eight bumping elbows...

On the ninth day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, nine toxic vaccines...

On the tenth day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, ten thousand pound fines...

On the eleventh day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, eleven covid marshals...

On the twelfth day of Christmas the rulers gave to us, twelve months of chaos..."

Merry Christmas to you all - Nadolig Llawen i chi i gyd.

MPs In Serious Dereliction Of Duty

et us list the ways in which this once free country of ours has descended into some kind of Orwellian totalitarian state with even worse on the horizon, under the watch of those who are supposed to uphold freedom and democracy for the people they purportedly represent:

Police violence – a man in Liverpool already on the ground and in custody had his head repeatedly punched by what appeared to be a police officer; a 72 year old lady was handcuffed and then bundled spreadeagled into the back of a police van outside Parliament; and many peaceful protests up and down the country have been violently broken up by police determined to carry out the orders of those who wish to see the removal of the rights of free speech and free association in this country.

Illegal arrests – a 19-year old dancer, a visiting German doctor, scientist Piers Corbyn, and many hundreds of others have been arrested whilst either speaking, walking or otherwise going about their business as free human beings over the last 6 months, whilst many more have been violently beaten.

Unlawful and unconstitutional measures, including those in the Coronavirus Act 2020 which allow all kinds of outrageous breaches of natural, ancient, common and even modern human rights, and the Covert Human Intelligence Sources Bill which

by **DARREN SMITH**

will give many government agents the licence to commit many crimes, have been all but rubber-stamped by this toothless and useless Parliament, sleepily acquiescent in the destruction of the civil liberties our ancestors spilled blood for, and for which those MPs' predecessors asked them to.

Allowing big business and retail giants to stay open while forcing small independent businesses to shut is another in a long line of attacks on the middle class, i.e.- ordinary working people, which will result in more and more Britons becoming dependent on government welfare, while also raising the tax burden on the rest that might be lucky enough to survive the great 'covid culling,' and those public servants who have been on furlough and actually enjoying a rather pleasant 2020.

Dictating socialising rules is something Roman emperors might even have hesitated at, but Boris probably can't believe his luck that people have become so docile through psychological operations that they are actually willing to not hug their own children, or gather in large groups, for fear of transmitting a disease that has a 99.5% recovery rate (not 'conspiracy theory' – SAGE documents and the Behavioural Insights Team prove this is fact.)

How many more steps will our elected so-called representatives allow the executive, supranational

bodies and billionaire businesspeople to take before we find ourselves in irreversible tyranny? Elsewhere here you can read about their transparent and open plans to completely tear up the normal fabric of society in order to impose a '4th Industrial Revolution,' marketed to sound like a utopian natural progression but which will actually mean the automation and smart-contract-isation of most jobs that are currently done by human beings, leading to widespread poverty.

All this might be understandable if the evidence did actually point to a pandemic. But outside of the telescreen and radio's omni-message of incessant. repetitive fear propaganda, the truth is that no hospitals are over-run with covid patients, hardly anyone even shows symptoms, and the only reason they are able to promote panic and keep the charade going is because they encouraged, and in many cases bribed, tens of thousands of people to go and get tested using a PCR test which is known to give over 90% false positives, and even up to 100% if you raise the number of doubling cycles up past 45.

Most of us don't figure in the rulers' future plans, and the buck stops with our MPs, who we pay to represent our interests. Many are currently hiding, but now is the time to ask them to do their jobs for those they supposedly protect and work for, and if they refuse then they should rightly step down and we'll find people who will, to replace them.

Plans for Major Satanic Temple in Scotland

A leister Crowley was the UK's leading satanist, whose teachings still heavily influence modern satanists across the world today. He spent many years living at Boleskine House (Scottish gaelic name: Baile Os Ceann) in the Highlands of Scotland.

The OTO or Ordo Templi Orientis was the secret society that Aleister Crowley had taken over and led. The OTO Society now own the site (approx. 10 acres) through a front organisation, the Boleskine House Foundation, and have a fund of about £1.4 million to restore it according to their vision – the plan is to turn it into the leading satanic temple in the UK.

At page 97 of his book, 'Children for the Devil' (Published in 1991 by Methuen London), the British journalist and author, Tim Tate, wrote: "...the OTO is today the most widely spread of all international satanic organisations."

If OTO is permitted to fulfil their development plans for Baile Os Ceann (Boleskine House) the location will become a major satanic temple and a very active hub for satanist abusers from

across the world to visit that location and perform Satanic Ritual Abuse (SRA) there and in its surrounding areas, posing a huge threat to both children and vulnerable adults.

Crowley publicly boasted in his book: 'Magick In Theory and Practice', that he had himself carried out ritual killings of children. In that book Crowley also wrote: "... the bloody sacrifice has from time immemorial been the most considered part of Magick."

"For the highest spiritual working, one must accordingly choose that victim which contains the greatest and purest force. A male child of perfect innocence and high intelligence is the most satisfactory and suitable victim."

Crowley promoted the idea that the strong should dominate the weak and that people should do whatever they wish, regardless of whom such actions may hurt or even kill.

Crowley's teachings amount to a "moral" imperative to do as one pleases – regardless of the harm that one's actions may have on others – and to kill

anybody who attempts to stop one from doing this. This also comes across as a convenient and self-serving justification for Satanist Ritual Abuse.

The OTO is currently mounting an international letter writing/email campaign targeted at the Highland Council to pressure them to approve their plans for developing Baile Os Ceann (Boleskine House).

There is a counter campaign to such international pressure with a campaign against the development of Baile Os Ceann (Boleskine House) because of the very dire threat that it will pose to children and vulnerable adults if the development plans are approved. If that happens it will become a major centre for devil worship and SRA in the UK.

To write to The Highland Council about the Planning Application re: Baile Os Ceann, correspondence should be sent to:

The CEO of the Highland Council, Donna Manson. Email address donna.manson@highland.gov.uk

There's Nothing Great About This 'Reset'

s most remain stupefied by rising infection rates, fixate on the daily 'R number' or argue the virtues of mask wearing, those who seek to impose their technocratic will, and tighten their vice grip upon the Earth, aren't hiding anything.

Catalysed by a multi-billion dollar campaign of virus fear and disinformation, infecting minds from Wuhan to Washington, the World Economic Forum's (WEF's) 'Great Reset' of capitalism is a sophisticated plot to transfer all the remaining wealth and power from the people up to the financial classes. The WEF has been gaining power and influence on the international stage in recent years and seems to have been chosen as the driving force behind the agenda. It presents a future of increased globalisation, the imposition of a totalitarian framework of bio-secure control and hints at a transhumanist future that challenges the very essence of what it means to be human.

But what is this Great Reset? Well, if you took the flowery descriptions on the forum's website at face value and mindlessly absorb the slick messages peppering advertising billboards all over the country. you'd be forgiven for thinking this is a heroically ambitious rescue plan designed to overcome the mammoth covid (and climate) disruptions to our social, political and economic systems. An entirely necessary response, demanding the cooperation of international 'stakeholders' to combat the unprecedented challenges we face.

Or as the big budget promo video—which blends depressing themes of covid-19, 'climate change', social justice and pollution destruction, loudly declares:

Our World has changed, Our challenges are greater, Our fragilities exposed, Our systems need a reset, Everyone has a role to play, The Great Reset, Join us.

Marketing spin aside, dig below the surface and you'll soon unearth some bright red flags. To begin with, the whole premise of this internationally transformative push being an unexpected-but necessaryreaction to an unforeseen threat is disingenuous at best.

On the WEF's website is a complicated 'transformation map,' depicting and connecting a myriad of sectors, services and behaviours, interlinked with how they will fuse with the response to the covid-19 'crisis.' Yet this sophisticated diagram had in fact already been published in 2017. Now updated to include covid-19—the catalyst that created a

by **JAMES LIGGETT**

smokescreen of panic to drive home and fast-track multiple long-held strategies to fulfil this agenda.

The WEF and billionaire elitist cronies have set out an ambitious and open conspiracy to transform permanently every aspect of what we do—from food to finance and from travel to taxes. It wants to dictate to us how we will live, work and interact

COVID-19: THE GREAT RESET

THIERRY MALLERET

FORUM PUBLISHING

in the future. And under the guise of the connected, 5G-driven 'Fourth Industrial Revolution' (4IR) they even plan to transform the very essence of what it means to be human.

While the word 'reset' is typically used to describe a return to an earlier way of doing things, it would actually be more honest if its proponents had coined their plans 'The Great Transformation.'

In an introductory speech in June of this year, Klaus Schwab, founder and Executive Chairman of the WEF states that the 'global pandemic' has created challenges not faced since WWII, which he claims have demonstrated that our 'old and outdated' systems are unfit for the 21st century.

In his amusingly thick German accent he calls upon the world to seize this opportunity. Not only in fighting covid, but also in 'reshaping the entire international system, and building a new social contract, for the post corona era.' Throwing in a raft of buzzwords, including 'sustainability, resilience, equality and inclusivity,' the billionaire manages to say a whole lot of nothing, while assuring us that his 'Great Reset' will enable the world to 'Build Back Better.' By radically altering our behaviours, harmonising with nature and integrating 4IR technologies, it is his promise that 'better lives' will be created.

The 4IR component is perhaps the most alarming aspect of the plan for its sheer science-fiction audacity.

Once again, it's through the words of Schwab himself that we gain the best insight. His previous book of the same name is littered from cover to cover with his transhumanist ideals. He salivates over the potential of the emerging tech to blend man with machine, marvelling at 'the staggering confluence of emerging technology breakthroughs, covering wide-ranging fields such as artificial intelligence, robotics, the internet of things, autonomous vehicles, 3D printing, nanotechnology, biotechnology, materials science, energy storage and quantum computing."

He describes an 'Internet of'
Things' connected world with
education delivered through
augmented and virtual reality. Plans
for intrusive sensors to be installed
across homes, clothes, transport
and energy networks and smart
cities with their 'all-important data
platforms.' He predicts that many
professional roles—including lawyers,
financial analysts, doctors, journalists,
accountants, insurance underwriters
or librarians—may soon be partly, or

completely, automated.

Schwab even goes as far as to suggest that mind-boggling innovations triggered by the fourth industrial revolution, from biotechnology to AI, will redefine the human experience—from both a biological and a social standpoint. One particularly chilling section of the book details with some relish how these technologies "can intrude into the hitherto private space of our minds, reading our thoughts and influencing our behaviour".

You will no doubt hear a lot more about The Great Reset over the coming months. Prince Charles can't stop banging on about it. Sesame Street's Grover flogs its benefits to the youth. You can learn all about this multi-billion/trillion dollar plan through the WEF's own website, books and podcasts. And if you have a couple of billion dollars to your name you can even take part in ongoing online group discussions with other movers and shakers.

While we can, and amazingly still do, argue about the threat from a 'virus' with a 99.5+% survival

rate until we are blue-in-the-face, it is important to recognise that the trajectory we are now on was planned and coordinated in advance over many years, and that the earth-shattering changes certainly do not represent the logical reaction to a typical 'flu season, as much as they attempt to convince us otherwise.

We must also not forget that the 'we are all in this together' mantra is a barefaced lie. So far 2020 has been highly profitable for the billionaire classes: a recent report by Swiss bank UBS found that this group increased its wealth by more than a quarter (27.5%) at the height of the crisis from April to July. This was as millions of people around the world lost their jobs or were struggling to get by on government schemes.

Granted, it is somewhat depressing to observe our self-appointed overlords speak so confidently and boldly about their plans for us. While it may even appear to be a done deal—and perhaps it is—without acceptance and compliance from the masses, Schwab's manifesto is merely the ramblings of an unhinged megalomaniac.



Censoring The Vaccine Debate Will Backfire

The covid-19 pandemic has thrown into sharp relief the concerns that a number of people have about vaccination. However, such is the eagerness to develop a vaccine, and get everyone to take it, that authorities are now looking to ban anyone who raises doubts. For example, the Labour Party in the UK is now calling for emergency 'anti-vaccine' laws:

by Dr. MALCOLM KENDRICK

people start to wonder if you are just afraid to make your case.

As Wendell Phillips said, and many people think: 'He who stifles free discussion, secretly doubts whether what he professes to believe is really true.'

Once censorship starts, people are also reminded of the worst, most



'Emergency laws to "stamp out dangerous anti-vaccine content online should be introduced," Labour has said. The party is calling for financial and criminal penalties for social media firms that do not remove false scare stories about vaccines.

It follows news of progress on the first effective coronavirus vaccine. The government said it took the issue "extremely seriously" with "a major commitment" from Facebook, Twitter and Google to tackle antivaccine content.' 1

There are so many things that could be said about this, that it is difficult to know where to start. If the intention of such laws is to ensure more people are keen to be vaccinated then I have news for the Labour party.

It will almost certainly backfire. This is because state censorship does not change minds, never has. Whilst debate, at least superficially, has been silenced, the concerns do not disappear. Instead, the doubts are often redoubled. Once you start banning and censoring and fining and arresting,

dreadful periods in history the world has ever seen. It has always been one of the primary tools of totalitarian regimes—Nazi Germany, Soviet Russia, North Korea, China and Iran today.

One of the greatest books of the twentieth century is George Orwell's '1984.' It is a book mainly concerned with how facts, and truth, are tightly controlled by the Party.

'And if all others accepted the lie which the Party imposed — if all records told the same tale then the lie passed into history and became truth.'

Of course, Orwell was not the first to notice the critical importance of freedom of speech:

"Liberty is meaningless where the right to utter one's thoughts and opinions has ceased to exist. That, of all rights, is the dread of tyrants. It is the right which they first of all strike down. They know its power. Thrones, dominions, principalities, and powers, founded in injustice and wrong, are sure to tremble, if men are allowed to reason of righteousness, temperance, and of a judgment to come in their presence" Frederick Douglass.

"Freedom of speech is a principal pillar of a free government; when this support is taken away, the constitution of a free society is dissolved, and tyranny is erected on its ruins.

Republics and limited monarchies derive their strength and vigour from a popular examination into the action of the magistrates." Benjamin Franklin.

Deep down we all know this. We know that this essential freedom – to say what you really believe to be true – is the essential pillar of any free society. It carries a cost, of course it carries a cost. People say stupid things, people say wrong and misguided things. They can be damaging things, but the alternative will always be much worse in the end.

I say this because people also say things that, whilst angrily dismissed at the time as dangerous foolishness, are later found to have been correct all along. I have spent many years looking at the history of medical science (if that is not, at times, an oxymoron). I have seen many activities considered to be of inarguable benefit, turn out to be indefensible malpractice.

Bernard Lown is, I think, my number one medical hero. His motto 'do as much as possible *for* the patient, and as little as possible *to* the patient.'

As he also said: "From my earliest days in medicine, I have struggled against the prevailing model of health care. My opposition in part was provoked by the growing prevalence of over-treatment. Resorting to excessive interventions seemed to be the illegitimate child of technology in the age of market medicine." ²

He tells a tale from the 1950s where the orthodoxy of the time was to ensure strict bed rest following a heart attack. In the face of considerable hostility, he and his mentor, Dr Samuel Levine allowed patients to sit up in a comfortable chair at the end of the bed. Shock horror.

In his own words: "The idea of moving critically-ill patients into a chair was regarded as off-the-wall. Initially the house staff refused to cooperate and strenuously resisted getting patients out of bed. They accused me of planning to commit crimes not unlike those of the heinous Nazi experimentations in concentration camps. Arriving on the medical ward one morning I was greeted by interns and residents lined up with hands stretched out in a Nazi salute and a 'Heil Hitler!' shouted in unison." 3

Heil Hitler indeed. An almost perfect irony, I suppose.

It turns out that strict bed rest

was absolutely and completely and totally the worst possible single thing you could do. I estimated, some years ago, that this action resulted in the premature death of around one hundred million people, worldwide. It could well have been more.

I imagine the 'Heil Hitler' shouting interns and residents would have happily endorsed censorship of any criticism of strict bed rest... for the good of society, no doubt.

Of course, had this happened, we would probably still be enforcing strict bed rest to this very day. Once a treatment becomes 'standard practice', there is no longer anything else against which to compare it, so you have no idea if it is beneficial, or harmful. That is what happens when you ban freedom of thought, and speech.

Leaving aside the principle that freedom of speech is our single most important freedom and must be handled with exquisite care. If we crush dissent, we also crush progress. Stupid ideas will, in the end, be shown to be stupid. Nonsense will be exposed as nonsense. However, if no criticism is allowed, stupid ideas that are widely believed to be true, cannot be challenged and we will be stuck – forever.

I think most people recognise this

truth. I also think most people, when they see things being banned and censored... wonder why.

You immediately raise doubts. Why are they doing this, are they attempting to hide something? You will not convince anyone, ever, by censoring them, or shutting them up. You will, instead, make them more certain that you are hiding something, and that they are right.

Censorship always hardens attitudes; it does not change minds. Anti-vaxxers (whatever that stupid, deliberately demeaning term means) will become more anti-vaxx. Discussion will be driven underground. Heroes and martyrs will be created. You will have done the exact oppositive of what you hoped to achieve.

You don't win arguments by clubbing people into submission. All you do is silence them and redouble their determination.

Sources:

1: https://bit.ly/anti-vax-fake-news 2: https://bit.ly/heart-sisters 3: https://bit.ly/bernard-lown

Dr Malcolm Kendrick's Website: https://drmalcolmkendrick.org

A phantom that lurks no-one has seen In isolation, anywhere in the nation An invisible killer as the filler Of headlines for the bovine, waiting in line Lock you down to get you down Separate says the state False diagnoses Create the dis-ease Ill disguised and poorly masked Once again our freedoms are attacked RNA copy and paste in haste To change your DNA in every way Nanotech in your bloodstream Enough to make your soul scream Trans-human chimera In a Luciferase daze What have we become Denying the coup, we know to be true Will you?

Matt Johnson

Marooned in a matrix of mendacity,

Pixelated constructs emerge achromatic.

Melded codes transmit and sync in perpetual union of wireless thought dictated by a fiery entity.

Philippa Drake

Fear – The Trojan Horse For Tyranny

Admittedly, this is not your standard-issue industrialised, globalised takeover of society. Why would it be? Fascism has come a long way since Hitler.

Nowadays the general population is too smart, we would recognise the face of tyranny and not this garden variety imposter, or so we think. Besides, 'without the Allies landing on the beaches of Normandy, how could we be under siege?' We reassure ourselves before crawling back into captivity in a comforting lockstep with less fortunate nations. Adaptation is a wonderful thing, but maybe not for us.

In keeping with the opaque nature of this political confidence trick, this is not your typical dictatorship either. Instead it's Middle England's answer to a military junta, carrying the letters of marque from Her Majesty's Government to legitimise its piracy, courted by the ivory towers of science and medicine, and extolled as a force for good by a population sleepwalking towards the edge of disaster. Meanwhile society burns ritualistically to the ground. But that's ok, we can *build back better* from the ashes. Or so we are told repeatedly.

The controlled demolition of society, made possible by the covid-19 agenda, places us at the gates to hell, somewhere between freedom and slavery, fighting a battle for humanity against a government who have become the occupational hazard of being human.

In little under eight months, those who go under the sobriquet of protector of individual freedom from tyranny have taken on the mantle of oppressor. Turning on the people of by **DUSTIN BROADBERY**

this nation, taking legal control over our lives, removing our personal agency, dictating where we can go and who we can meet. Irrespective of these historic infractions on our freedom, we obediently roll over rather than disturb the hornet's nest and industriously heed the call of showman politicians who have enlisted us, unaware the culpability has been passed from the overlords to the victims of their tyranny.

"We are all in this together" is another blandishment from this Prime Minister, despite his inner circle ruling this country by ministerial decree since March. Rolling out the most injurious legislation in history, without recourse to scrutiny, debates or votes in Parliament, engagement with civil society, or indeed risk assessment of the impact of these laws, and which the lawmakers themselves do not obey, in the time-honoured tradition of one rule for those making the rules but another rule for everyone else.

Following a handful of idle sessions at the House of Commons, liberties older than Parliament itself have been nullified on the basis of a disease with an average mortality age of 82. What has been achieved on this basis by the architects of this apocalypse is not only reprehensible, it is ingenious. Uniting millions behind the cult of covid. Transcending decades of the usual propaganda, behavioural engineering, the manufacturing of consent. Mitigating the expense of war, terrorism, or whatever chicanery has been at the disposal of those

in power, grooming us towards a controlled destination. In this particular watershed, a permanent checkpoint of our democracy to issue the terms of our servitude, called the biosecurity state.

This journey towards the wrong side of history is made possible by subtle nuances of control, confusion and fear that most fail to perceive. Engineering the wholesale abandonment of logic and reason to a seemingly benevolent cult who alone offer protection against our seemingly immediate day of reckoning.

The threat of death strikes an uncomfortable chord and our ability to adapt makes us low-hanging fruit. But who could have predicted fascism would look so ordinary from inside the panopticon? Once we're plugged into a shared digital matrix, a state of emergency can rapidly contaminate consensus, until forced collectivism flourishes like wildfire.

Meanwhile, measured perspective becomes an act of war. Who wants to be on the wrong side of the cult, when those failing to bend a knee to covid, are, by disassociation, guilty, with blood on their hands?

This entire process works because the fertile ground for any dictatorship to prosper is fear. A state of emergency unites us with common purpose. And what could be more compelling than a pandemic?

Death, like communism, levels the playing field of all distinctions. Regardless of class, age, gender, or race, death is indiscriminate. Reaffirming the normalcy of our departure from one place of relative safety to another is straightforward enough. Safety is, after all, relative to the setting of the emergency. The higher the alert level, the safer we feel in the herd, regardless of small matters such as the number of prison bars holding us captive. In a strong-enough current people are like driftwood trying to dock in the reeds. Remember the motto of this thing from the outset: 'The New Normal'?

The pandemic hunkers down on the 'Blitz Spirit' of the British people: Boris plays Churchill and the propagandists ensnare a nation under mass-hypnosis, who muzzle their senses and clap for their captors. As important matters of front-line battle are the prerogative of the Johnson regime, who work round the clock to dampen, depress and demoralise the public spirit, criminalising just about every form of human expression conceivable. In this Kafkaesque rendition of reality, an arsenic cough, the assassin's handshake are the crimes against humanity, while our personal hygiene and voluntary associations stand before the Nuremberg Trials.

Covid is the greatest operation to divide and rule in human history. Factionalising family members, separating each from their powers of reason, and weaponising society as a Black Death, whose panacea is an unrelenting assault on the basic joys of life and other misguided freedoms, that we are told, are the agents of our suffering.

The cautionary tale from history should teach us that the surrendering of our rights out of fear of some existential threat is never a good idea. Typically it's a threat hatched by a government looking to cross the Rubicon from protector of individual liberty to regulator of the psycho-socio-sexual. Dictating who we may and may not procreate with is yet another compulsion of cult ideology, which should be enough to convince most we are already living under tyranny.

On the contrary, those with front row tickets, prostrated in self-imposed exile, bribed with government kickbacks, and disguising their own fear of death as compassion for others, would disagree. Claiming (amongst other platitudes), "It's only for another six months... Even if it means saving just one life... 2020 is the year that was cancelled... You wear a seatbelt but not a face covering..."

This is despite the overwhelming body of evidence that we are not the victims of indiscriminate, extemporaneous encroachments on our liberties, but rather our rights are being permanently dismantled through a carefully planned sequence of events, where the previous imposition makes way for the next tightening of the screw.

The volitional guidelines for the hospitality industry to track and trace our movements has predictably been ramped up to mandatory. Anticipating the next iteration in this sequence: gun-to-your-head contact tracing across all interfaces of the economy, our workspaces, public transport, international flights and supermarkets.

Or, for those refusing to comply, then it's 'no ticket, no laundry.' And the emergence of covid-apartheid.

You have been warned.



WI-FI Radiation – A Generational Tragedy?

In the 1970s a US military document listed 2000 research papers covering many illnesses caused by continuous low level radiation.

In 1973 the WHO Warsaw Conference document highlighted the biological effects of non-thermal radiation. Over 350 pages, it documents harms including cancer.

This document was stamped top-secret. In Jan 2018 studies of Navy veterans concluded that (1), the International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) guidelines don't protect people and need to be replaced with biologically based (non-thermal) exposure limits and (2) that Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Radiation (EMR) is and should be classified as a group 1 carcinogen.

Microwaves were being used as

by **KATHERINE HOOPER**

stealth weapons before they were used as cell phone technology. Under the existing safety levels at that time cell phones would not have been allowed. By 1962 all of the dangers and hazards of microwave radiation had been highlighted and published.

In 1965 they adopted an old 1953 thermal safety level which is still in use today, the 6 minute thermal level used by ICNIRP. No consideration is given here to the published 'below thermal' cellular interactions and electromagnetic effects which interfere with the normal resonant frequency of the body.

Barrie Trower has worked for the Royal Navy and intelligences as a microwave weapons expert. He is also a physicist. Mr Trower highlights the devastating effects of Wi-Fi on the developing foetus.

A baby girl in her mother's womb develops the ovarian follicles that will produce her own child in the first 100 days of development. Developing ovarian follicles have no protection from irradiation from Wi-Fi which can then cause irreversible DNA damage. The DNA damage done for each successive baby girl is cumulative. The first generation has only half of its babies born healthy, the second only a quarter and the third only an eighth. In another 3 generations only 1 in 8 children will be born healthy.

This problem will reveal itself in third generations and will not likely present until 2040 in humans. We are already seeing the effects in animal populations such as horses. Many animals have much shorter reproductive cycles than humans. The

implications for farm animals and food production are also very serious. Microwaves react very differently in our water-based bodies than radio waves. This makes pregnant women especially vulnerable.

For children, the main risks are the effects on the integrity of the blood/brain barrier (protection against toxins), neural and muscle functions and the depletion of the immune system including bone marrow and bone effects because of the soft and less dense bones of children whose absorption of microwave radiation can be 10 times higher than adults. This chronic stress causes mitochondrial DNA damage which is transmitted to all successive generations through the maternal line. This is irreversible.

Replacement of Wi-Fi with cable would remove these problems

especially in nurseries, schools and universities. The dangerously high ICNIRP 'safe exposure' limits enable the utilities to legally build their power lines, substations and towers dangerously close to schools, residential areas and hospitals.

We should be acutely aware of serious health concerns caused by microwave radiation in general and 5G.

Electrosensitivity UK Website: http://www.es-uk.info

5G Awareness Website: https://www.5gawareness.com/

Wi-Fi - A Thalidomide In The Making. Who Cares? by Barrie Trower (PDF Version): https://bit.ly/wi-fi-barrie-trower

Hidden Dangers 5G by Jerry Flynn (ePub Version): https://bit.ly/hidden-dangers-5g

and forcibly intern in a secret

'Just Like The Nazis' – Is Godwin's Law Redundant?

an comparisons be made between life under Nazi rule and the world we find ourselves in today? On closer inspection some similarities are just too close for comfort.

When the Germans crossed the Polish border in 1939, Polish state radio Warsaw One announced; 'We are strong, united and ready'.

When 'covid-19' began to spread from China we were told by Boris Johnson in March to stay at home for three weeks to 'flatten the curve' and everything would go back to normal.

Historian Emmanuel Ringelblum wrote; 'There are long queues in front of every food store and everything is being bought out. Food shortages for potatoes tripled and there was a spike in the cost of basic foodstuffs due to food lines being cut and diverted.'

During lockdown we've experienced long, socially distanced (contradiction of terms, we just mean physically distanced) queues outside shops, imposed purchase limits, shortages and panic buying frenzies for things like flour, rice, pasta and toilet rolls.

Many people throughout Nazi society struggled to find work. Employment tended to revolve around servicing the requirements of the military regime. Many businesses were destroyed or confiscated from their owners.

Governments are crashing the economy and destroying businesses under the guise of a global pandemic leaving the workforce jobless, destitute and bankrupt.

As life became more difficult and food became scarce, profiteers would lurk in the shadows to exploit the

by **RHISIART AP ROY**

vulnerable to make a handsome profit.

We seem to have our own profiteers who are doing extremely well off the backs of those who are struggling. One company that springs to mind is Amazon, and Big Pharma also stand to make a killing when their covid vaccines are rolled out.

In 1940 Dr. Kurt Schrempf the chief Nazi physician announced that Jews in Warsaw, "...needed to be walled inside their district for their own protection...that they were spreaders

of disease and for sanitary reasons had to be cut off from the rest of the city."

For health reasons many of us have been told we are at risk and should quarantine within our own walls at home for our own protection as the virus could spread. How many

people have died due to lack of care and isolation?

Initially the movement of people in and out of the Warsaw ghetto was manned by the Polish Police. Later the German military took over.

Since March 2020, we have experienced national and local lockdowns. We have been told not to leave designated areas. Local police have controlled access points with road blocks. There are now signs of the military on the streets assisting the authorities in offering door-to-

door covid tests.

Berlin passed a decree on 1st April 1934 whereby public assembly of more than five people was strictly forbidden and violators faced prosecution.

The nonsensical rule of six applies today with sanctions in place for transgressors, though by the time you read this that number could well already have changed six times over. Arbitrariness is also the destabilising tactic of the tyrant.

Under the Third Reich woe betide anybody who developed a mind of

is your neighbour.

Breaking lockdown or curfew regulations meant you risked being shot on sight.

We're not quite there yet but can anybody justify a £10,000 fine for breaking the rules?

As a mark of identification and humiliation, jews were forced to wear a Star of David armband on their outer garments in public, and other groups such as homosexuals and gypsies also had their own group identifying badges.

Quite obviously the face mask

identifies our compliance to authority despite H.M. Government guidance of the 24th June 2020 stating: the evidence suggests that wearing a face covering does not protect you... evidence of the benefit of using a face covering

guidance of the 24th Jur 2020 statin, 'the evidence suggests the wearing a f covering do not protect evidence of benefit of u a face cove

is weak.'

Many unfortunate souls were forcibly transported to the infamous Auschwitz concentration camp where they were tattooed and some were subjected to Dr. Mengele's horrendous medical experiments against their will. Interestingly, modern day lawyer Robert Barnes raises, and answers, his own question 'know how the Nazi's first sold concentration camps? They called it quarantine.'

'Quebec City says it will isolate

quarantine facility 'uncooperative' citizens.' The UK Coronavirus Act 2020 allows the Government to remove you from your home and test and treat you against your will. Authorities have repeatedly talked about plans for a digital covid passport (ID 2020) which will allow the government and corporations to track which vaccines you've had (or have not had). It is thought that these will be required for future travel amongst other things and are currently being trialled by United Airlines and Cathay Pacific. Health Secretary Matt Hancock says he is "looking very seriously at compulsory vaccinations", and according to statements made by Bill Gates, " normality may never return to those that refuse the vaccine."

Propaganda Minister Josef Goebbels controlled the mainstream media of the day by brainwashing the public with repeated lies and distorted truths.

Much of today's mainstream media follows the template of Goebbels. Ask yourself why Melanie Dawes, CEO of Ofcom, is threatening UK broadcasters with sanctions if they allow anyone to make a connection between 'covid 19' and 5G.

What Next? Do you feel history is repeating itself? Many more people are waking up to the dire truth of our situation, connecting the dots, asking questions and are beginning to speak out. But will those who do know history be doomed to watch those who don't repeat it, to everyone's loss?



their own. A ruthless programme of

a hive-mind mentality. Adults and

physician, Dr. Heiko Schoening,

children were encouraged to inform

on those who opposed state ideology.

was arrested by riot police for airing

his views on covid-19 at Speaker's

Corner in Hyde Park. 'Neighbours

covid self-isolation cheats and spot

police.' Watch your back if Priti Patel

are being urged to call police on

checks are being carried out by

Last September a visiting German

state indoctrination existed to develop

Santa Facing Travel Restrictions

Due to current covid restrictions in the UK, Santa is unable to deliver any presents this year.

On November 24, 2020, the UK government issued a press release stating that, whilst they give UK citizens permission to have Christmas celebrations this year, families must follow strict guidelines because: "This cannot be a 'normal' Christmas."

The government stated that: "Even where it is within the rules, meeting with friends and family over Christmas will be a personal judgement for individuals to take, mindful of the risks to themselves and others, particularly those who are vulnerable. We need everyone to think carefully about what they do during this period, balancing some increased social contact with the need to keep the risk of increased transmission of the virus as low as possible. This is particularly important when considering those who are vulnerable, and before deciding to come together over the festive period we urge the consideration of alternative approaches such as the use of technology or meeting outside."

They continued that:

• Travel restrictions across the four

by **CHRISTINA ENGLAND**

administrations and between tiers will be lifted to provide a window for households to come together between the 23rd and 27th of December.

- Up to three households can form an exclusive 'bubble' to meet at home during this period. When a bubble is formed it is fixed, and must not be changed or extended further at any point.
- Each Christmas bubble can meet at home, at a place of worship or an outdoor public place, but existing, more restrictive rules on hospitality and meeting in other venues will be maintained throughout this period.

In other words, Santa has been excluded from visiting the UK's children this year, because he can only visit a total of three families.

Sadly, these cast iron rules, established by the UK government, cannot be broken and, therefore, will leave millions of children devastated this Christmas when they wake up to find an empty stocking.

On a More Serious Note.
Whilst Boris Johnson, guided by
the wonderful Matt Hancock, has
been busy deciding exactly how the
UK will be allowed to celebrate
Christmas this year, it appears that

he has forgotten that, due to his previous restrictions and chaotic four tier system, tens of thousands of UK businesses have either gone into liquidation or have had to furlough their staff for months on end. These actions have left the UK not only in crisis, but with approximately 1.6 million people unemployed.

Therefore, it really does not matter whether the Prime Minister allows us to have a Christmas or not, because as a direct result of his actions this year, tens of thousands of families are now facing homelessness and poverty at this time, leaving many of them unable to AFFORD Christmas anyway.



Gates Funds Vaccines Which Could Change DNA

The government has been preordering copious amounts of the various covid-19 vaccines being made by a collection of similar companies. Moderna and BioNTech being the front-runners amongst them.

Moderna focuses on biotechnology, and interestingly their name is an acronym for Modified RNA (Ribonucleic Acid – responsible for gene coding). It was founded by Derrick Rossi, from Harvard University in September 2010, making it relatively new in comparison to most other vaccine manufacturers.

In January 2016, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation entered into a 'Global Health Project Agreement' with Moderna, to start the first in-human Phase 1 clinical trial of a potential mRNA medicine to help prevent various infectious diseases, with initial grant funding of \$20 million.

If you were wondering how this covid-19 vaccine was made so fast, there is your answer - they have been working on this type of vaccine for years. In 2022 the company is set to gain a further potential \$80 million in funding, as follow-on projects could be granted upon the 6th year

by **KYLE DAVENPORT**

anniversary of the agreement, but here is the catch: Moderna is obliged to grant the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation certain non-exclusive licenses, giving them the right to use the intellectual property gained from the agreement in the same capacity as Moderna. However, the company has still yet to create or distribute an approved medicine or vaccine in its entire10 year existence.

BioNTech, co-founded in 2008 by a man of Turkish descent Uğur Şahin, and his wife Özlem Türeci, have signed contracts, working alongside Pfizer, to supply 30 million doses of the RNA covid-19 vaccine to the UK.

Intriguingly, in September 2019 they also signed an agreement with the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, to create preclinical vaccine and immunotherapy programmes for the prevention of HIV, tuberculosis and other serious diseases, receiving 50 million euros from the European Investment Bank for its inception.

Not only did they receive 50 million from the EIB, but they also received investments of €250 million from Temasek Holdings (Singapore), in June 2020, making them their

largest investor yet.

The eventuality of these covid-19 vaccines is said not to be injected intravenously, but to be able to use a Hydrogel Sticker (like a transdermal patch) courtesy of DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency), the military's technological arm of the Pentagon. This will give people the ability to administer the vaccine themselves, eliminating complications that trypanophobia (fear of needles) causes and the mega-logistical issues of mass vaccination programmes, as this will not be one vaccine but will require multiple vaccinations over a period of time just like many others.

The Hydrogel and microneedles on the patch will contain enzymes called Luciferase, named this because of its bioluminescent qualities. It is difficult to see with the naked eye, but can be seen when scanned. This scannable feature will be left under the skin, in the dermis (tough tissue directly underneath the layers of skin,) by the microneedles on the patch which will contain the luciferase enzyme and the vaccine itself.

The idea was first proposed to track soldiers and their health out on the frontline, but it has been modified over the past few years to be used for vaccination. A video from DARPA's YouTube channel called 'DARPA SBIR: Profusa Implantable Biosensors – COL Matt Hepburn' explains the original idea.

The intention for the vaccine is to insert a modified part of RNA from the virus into a stem-cell in your body, your body will then start to transcribe that part of the virus and make more of it. This will kickstart the memory cells in the immune system, then create antibodies and an improved T-Cell response if you come into contact with it in the future.

The problem with this is that we may become genetically modified too, by using a process called Transfection. If the modified RNA enters our genome, which it more than likely will, and it begins to replicate when the genome does, it could become a permanent part of our genetics.

Companies making this vaccine are stating that it will only be a temporary change, but this is highly doubtful. If it can permanently modify ferret genetics when trials were done on them, then why can it not do the same to a human?

We know that genetically modified organisms in foods can make them carcinogenic, therefore we are running the risk of serious health problems by accepting this vaccine.

Dr Carrie Madej explains the process for the vaccine in a video on Bitchute called 'HUMAN 2.0? A WAKE UP CALL TO THE WORLD'. This particular vaccine from BioNTech, is called BNT162b2, and also uses Solid Lipid Nanoparticles which help to deliver the mRNA.

There is a plethora of scientific research papers on Pub.Med and Google Scholar, that can be accessed for free, for further research.

BioNTech's Funding By European Investment Bank: https://bit.ly/biontech-funding

BioNTech's Connections To The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation: https://bit.ly/biontech-gates

Microneedle Platform For Biomedical Applications: https://bit.ly/microneedle-platform

MHRA-COVID-19 Artificial Intelligence Software Tool: https://bit.ly/CV19-Al

Moderna, Bill & Melinda Gates Funding: https://bit.ly/moderna-gates

Pub.Med-Lipid Nanoparticles Research: https://bit.ly/lipid-research

Dr. Vernon Coleman: Medic's Most Wanted

In this issue we have the privilege of delving into a range of subjects with Dr Vernon Coleman MB ChB DSc FRSA, covering the areas mainstream media won't touch.

In March, the best selling international author and highly esteemed doctor posted a video to YouTube entitled "Coronavirus Hoax"

His decades of experience proved popular as he posted informative and well researched videos questioning the narrative and providing his audience with facts and further avenues for research. With his wit, charm and down to earth manner, the "Old Man in a Chair" (as Dr Coleman became known) helps many to find out the truth and ditch the fear. But media outlets had another agenda which led to his work falling victim to extreme censorship. We believe that as an adult you are capable of reading a range of information and deciding for yourself from the evidence – a course of action Dr Vernon would definitely prescribe.

Many people will by this point in 2020 have questions about the covid-19 situation. Dr Vernon gives us an overview of the situation from day one.

"The coronavirus was officially downgraded to flu level in March, the ordinary flu can affect 100 million people and kill 650,000 of them in a single flu season (which runs from autumn to spring) and the mortality rate with covid-19 is now widely accepted by doctors to be the same as the mortality rate with the flu.

My first video was in March entitled "Coronavirus Hoax" and my book 'Covid-19: the Greatest Hoax in History' contains the scripts of the videos I made for YouTube between the end of April 2020 and the beginning of September. It contains a mass of information about the dangers of lockdowns, the history of the companies making vaccines, the problems with social distancing and masks and the problems with censorship.

I've also explained how the figures for covid-19 have been widely exaggerated. Deaths are listed as covid-19 related if the patient who died had tested positive for the disease in the previous 28 days. This means that if a patient is run over by a bus but tested positive for the coronavirus three weeks earlier then they officially died of the coronavirus. And the majority of covid-19 deaths occur in patients over 80 who had other serious health problems.

Another of my books 'Proof that Masks Do More Harm than Good' is exactly what it says. It's merely a summary of dozens of items of research which prove that

by VICTORIA AEYN

mask wearers are doing themselves enormous harm. In my view, forcing people to wear masks is criminal and will lead to brain damage and deaths. I doubt if there are many independent doctors who disagree with that. As well as physical useless. The main problem is that they produce more false positives than real positives. The test picks up old evidence of individuals having had the common cold. The test is useful for governments which want more lockdowns and if testing continues then there will be more lockdowns – indefinitely.



problems, masks will cause massive psychological damage. When people are wearing masks smiles can't be seen but frowns can. Children are going to be damaged for life."

We may be in the middle of a medical scam that could have deeper consequences in many areas. Yet the Government is determined to keep publishing figures that suggest to the public there is a high incidence of covid-19. Where are these figures coming from? "The PCR tests were never intended to be used to test for covid-19 and they are worse than

It is interesting to note that an appeals court in Portugal has ruled that the PCR process is not a reliable test for Sars-Cov-2 and that, as a result, any enforced quarantines based on those tests are unlawful. The Portuguese judges ruled that when running PCR tests with more than 35 temperature changes (or cycles) the accuracy drops to 3%, meaning that up to 97% of positive results could be false positives. The number of cycles used when running the test may be as high as 45. Mainstream media appears to have ignored this

important judgement."

It appears data is being misused to enable things like lockdowns, and these responses have had an impact on us all. Dr Vernon has no doubt that lockdowns serve a more sinister purpose than protecting the public.

"The lockdowns were always going to kill far more people than covid-19 – and such has been proven to be the case. Interestingly, the government in the UK accepts the WHO's faulty advice on mask wearing (for which there is no supporting scientific evidence) but ignores the WHO's view that lockdowns should be avoided.

The testing programme seems designed to give the government an excuse for more lockdowns – though these are useless and harmful. It would be much wiser to allow the very old and sickly to self-isolate if they wanted to.

Forcing millions of people to stay under house arrest (and destroying businesses and jobs) is politically motivated. Neil Ferguson, often thought of as the architect of the lockdown policies around the world, has made so many mistakes in his career that it is impossible to understand how he is still hired by the Government – unless they chose someone to give them the advice they wanted.

It is appalling that protests have been widely banned. It is similarly astonishing that a senior police officer encouraged mask wearers to 'shame' the physically and mentally disabled who are unable to wear masks. There is no democracy or freedom of speech in the UK. The fines being handed out are punitive and out of proportion."

What does the doctor himself believe is the driving factor for this? "It is clear that there is something huge behind the covid-19 hoax. Two facts made this clear. First, the fact that the same 'mistakes' were made globally. (They weren't mistakes, of course). So, for example, the elderly were taken from hospitals and dumped in care homes in a number of countries. I have described this as manslaughter though I suppose it's bordering on genocide.

The second factor is the way that debate has been silenced. I think the BBC has been particularly unscrupulous in protecting the government's lies and demonising the truth-tellers. Threats have been made to doctors to keep them quiet.

The coronavirus hoax was designed to oppress and terrorise the general population so that those planning a world government could achieve their aims. I have been called a conspiracy theorist (and much worse) but the conspiracy is the one created by the United

Nations, the World Economic Forum and a bunch of billionaires. Some folk ask why governments would deliberately destroy their economies but the answer is simple: they want to re-create the world to suit their own purposes.

The climate change scam was deliberately chosen by the Club of Rome, as a way of terrorising the population. It's interesting that while most people have lost a big chunk of their income and savings this year the world's billionaires have massively increased their wealth. The plan is to destroy small companies and to banish private property holdings. Curiously, billionaires such as Bill Gates (who through the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation has shares in, and links to, drug companies making vaccines) are promoting a form of communism. Gates is keen on reducing the size of the world's population but also keen on vaccination, allegedly to keep people alive. It is difficult to reconcile these two obviously opposing viewpoints."

Although the claims Dr Coleman makes are large in scope, any reader can use the internet to check these statements out for themselves. However, it may be harder than it should be to find Dr Vernon's videos and books due to censorship.

"I put two books 'Proof That Masks Do More Harm than Good' and 'Covid-19: The Greatest Hoax in History' on Smashwords making them both free as eBooks. Unfortunately, they didn't last long before Smashwords closed my account - claiming that I had broken their terms of service. I couldn't see what I was supposed to have done wrong – but I can understand that the establishment wouldn't want the books available. (They were only on the site for a few days but many thousands of copies of the books had been downloaded).

None of the videos which they banned contained anything inaccurate. I believe they were banned because they contained facts and research material which proved the official message to be wrong. I've worked in the media for over half a century and although people have (unsuccessfully) attempted to ban or suppress my work I never imagined that I would find the level of censorship that exists today. Although I have previously sold millions of books in the UK and had books published in 25 languages, I have not even bothered to offer my recent books to traditional publishers because I doubt if I would find one with the courage to print them.'

It is understandable that the public does not want to see itself as 'naive enough' to have fallen for lies and suggesting the medical profession is implicated in the hoax is a radical idea to many who have come to rely on and trust medical staff. How exactly does the establishment in all forms manage to convince people?

"The authorities have relied on cognitive dissonance - most people simply find the awful things that are happening quite unbelievable. But Hitler and Goebbels recognised that the 'big lies' are ignored because they are too enormous for people to comprehend. Most citizens cannot imagine that people could do truly awful things – even though the evidence shows that the awful things are happening. What has saddened me is the way that the medical profession has gone along with this hoax. Some, of course, have links with the drug companies. The UK Government's chief scientific officer (Patrick Vallance) was an employee of vaccine maker GSK – and he still has shares in the company. The chief medical officer (Chris Whitty) was previously associated with a Bill Gates project.

Around the world doctors and nurses have been threatened with professional ruin if they spoke out. A few have been brave but most have been shamefully acquiescent. Dr Mohammad Adil lost his licence to practice because he questioned the official line on the coronavirus. To ban debate on a medical issue is unprecedented in the West and is something previously only seen in dictatorships."

The newest aspect of the coronavirus saga is the coming vaccine. The biggest question is what are the potential issues in

mass vaccination with a brand new vaccine? "I have no idea precisely what mRNA or DNA vaccines might do in the medium or long term." says Dr Coleman, "I don't believe anyone else knows either. Giving one of these vaccines will be an experiment. Why would anyone want to take an experimental drug to protect against a disease which is widely acknowledged to be no more deadly than the flu?"

A fantastic point of common sense, though if you were to search social media for answers on this you would find very pervasive discouragement of anyone daring to question the new vaccine. "The psyop specialists are cleverly attacking all vaccine criticism as 'anti-vaxx nonsense.' There is no attempt to differentiate between professional criticism and rumour.

This is nothing new, it has been impossible to publish material questioning vaccination for decades. Nearly a decade ago, I wrote a book about vaccines but had to publish it myself. I have been viciously attacked, libelled and lied about because I have questioned the value of vaccination. It is not widely known that the government is employing thousands of military psy-op specialists to manipulate and brainwash the public – and government employees are busy working as trolls and putting scurrilous comments on videos about the coronavirus. Wikipedia has been taken over and its sites used to demonise those questioning the official Agenda. All we can do is use every avenue we can to share the

truth and to question the government. For the first time in history we are at war with our own government. They are the enemy."

It is highly likely now that vaccines may be made compulsory, or that a health passport will be launched. A state of play that this doctor is determined to challenge.

"We all need to fight for the right to decide whether or not we are vaccinated. Ministers talk about 'informed choice' but there is no discussion or debate about vaccination in the mainstream media Doctors who question these vaccines are threatened, silenced, ignored or demonised. We all have to question the politicians and the mainstream media and to demand that proper debate takes place. Giving a new, untried vaccine to billions of people is the biggest gamble in history. And why? People need to stand up for themselves. The people of Denmark recently forced their government to abandon a plan for mandatory vaccination. Protests outside their Parliament lasted more than a week."

It's fighting talk; informative but determined. How can the public, as a David to the Government's Goliath, move forward under these conditions? "We have to share information" recommends Dr Vernon. "On my website I have printed material to be used in leaflets. And I encourage people to send leaflets or letters to politicians and journalists.

It's also a good idea to ring up radio presenters and ask them for their views on vaccine dangers, lockdowns and so on. Use the information on my website to confound them. We all have a vital role to play in what is now clearly a resistance movement. Sadly, our enemy, our oppressors, are our own government and, indeed, the whole of Parliament. And find a way not to pay the BBC licence fee (but do it legally). The BBC has repeatedly proved itself to be the enemy of the people."

Dr Vernon Coleman has much to say and a lifetime's worth of research and insight to back it up. It is essential that we also become informed as so much is at stake now, more than ever before. If we do not ask the questions and become more empowered, life as we once cherished will be gone forever under the guise of 'our own protection'.

"In the past I have fought on many contentious issues (including benzodiazepine addiction, dementia, vivisection and more,) but this is, without a doubt, the most important battle any of us has ever fought. If we lose this war then we will lose our freedom, our democracy and our very humanity. The technocrats and transhumanists have terrible plans for the human race. The mask wearing and social distancing are being used to force people into blind obedience. The medical evidence shows that the hypoxia and hypercapnia caused by the masks will, in due course, cause brain damage and make people even less likely to stand up to defend

Listen to the doctor, remove your mask and become informed on the truth behind the headlines and spin from the Government.

The Light truthpaper hopes you will read our content and go on to research further for yourselves, then spread the word to your nearest and dearest.

Follow these links to find more on the work of Dr Vernon Coleman.

https://brandnewtube.com/@DrVernonColeman

Informative and often humorous video content

http://www. vernoncoleman.com

Much of Doctor Coleman's work over the years and plenty of information for further research.

If you enjoyed this feature, look out for more content from Dr Vernon Coleman as we are delighted to announce he will be joining the Light truthpaper for a new regular column.



UK Think Tank Proposes Free Speech Act

new paper authored by Adam Smith Institute's legal fellow and technology lawyer Preston J. Byrne explores the state of freedom of speech in the UK and suggests that many of the current problems the country is facing in this area could be solved by adopting rules protecting free speech modelled after the First Amendment of the US Constitution.

As things stand, UK citizens can accuse others and even send them to prison for 'crimes' like feeling offended by their speech, or finding that speech distressing or uncomfortable. But proper free speech protections should extend all the way to things that may be understood as 'loathsome and hateful.' Byrne notes.

The reason to look for a new way of ensuring freedom of expression, which is a vital element in any true democracy, is the fact the UK has several laws that contravene by **SCOTT JOYCE**

it, such as the Public Order, Communications, Terrorism, and Malicious Communications acts, respectively. The way these are at times implemented goes against their original purpose and amounts to overreach, the paper's author argues.

The newest batch of legislation that is a cause for concern in this space are the proposed Online Harms Bill and Scotland's Hate Crime Bill, Byrne warns, adding that all these create a legal environment that protects the hearer rather than the speaker, and relies on social attitudes to introduce ever more restrictive policies.

On the other hand, the legislation that at this point guarantees free speech in the UK is based on Article 10 of the European Convention, that Byrne says is 'woefully inadequate.' He believes that the article deals more with restricting than expanding free speech, while at the same time



being quasi-constitutional and poorly implemented in practice.

According to the paper, what Article 10 does is let subjective social and cultural trends shrink the boundaries of free speech, instead of providing an objective firm guarantee for them.

For all these reasons, Byrne thinks the UK should look for inspiration to the First Amendment to ensure protection of free speech and eliminate interference from the state, naming this potential new legislation the UK Free Speech Act. One would think such a proposal by any serious and capable group of MPs is capable of garnering serious public support and momentum.

'Sense and Sensitivity: Restoring free speech in the United Kingdom By Preston J. Byrne is available to read at the Adam Smith Institute: https://www.adamsmith.org

Original report from: https://reclaimthenet.org





Wish You Were Here? Life In Sweden Is Old Normal

Gordana is 46 and originally from Serbia but moved to Gothenburg 6 years ago. She lives here with her husband and 3 children, works in a school and has a degree in Graphic Design.

We asked her about life in Sweden during 2020.

When were you first aware of covid-19?

At the end of January was the first time that we start to speak about covid, my baby boy is going in daycare next to my work on the island, so... When I come to work I just leave my baby and start my work. The kindergarten staff told me one of their colleagues comes from vacation in China and she have to take two more weeks free days because she has to be tested for corona covid 19 virus. Everything has changed since that day.

What was the reaction of the government?

The government's reaction was: don't panic, keep your distance, wash your hands, if you're sick stay home, and we'll create immunity herd, no panic and no stress.

What was the reaction of the media?

The media reported the same as the government. After March the media started reporting some data that we were all shocked about, because we didn't notice it. Many sick people, many dead people.

What was the reaction of the people?

At first we were all afraid that the virus would kill us or we would become zombies. As time passed and we were able to read, hear, explore a lot... many people calmed down, while some remained in fear until today. High schools were closed in May.

My daughter's comment was the best, she now enjoys going to school and she doesn't have to move out of bed. It was a little complicated to go to the dentist or doctor – before they let you in, they interrogate you in front of the door, when you go inside, everything is calm, there are no people, none of the doctors have a mask, no one is nervous.

Would the people have locked down if the government had asked?

I have lived here for 6 years and as far as I understand, people here respect their government and listen. I guess people would accept everything but since they love their freedom and right to choose, I don't know how long they would suffer being locked up against their will.

Why didn't the government ask? What was their stated reason?

Honestly, I'm not familiar with the political parties here, I have to learn about that, but as far as I

by DARREN SMITH & RADOSLAW KALISZUK

understand when I talk to people who live here (were born here), it is important for the government not to be economically weak, some people even wanted and asked to be closed because they were scared from the number of infected and dead people that media was reporting, during the lunch break at school many teachers and kitchen staff joked that it would be good to lock us up to get some rest from everything. But the government did not want to pay people for doing nothing and weakening the country's economy.

at first because of the number of infected and dead people, and for the measures that were taken, some people were indignant and some said that Sweden should be closed that way as well.

Figures show deaths from covid in Sweden the same or lower than the rest of Europe—do you know of anyone who got it/died from it?

I don't know anyone who died of covid, many of my friends saying the same thing. Everyone who was sick at school went to get tested and everyone was negative, they were screens to say keep your distance and if you are sick, stay home.

Very few people talk about the covid, everyone is sick of it, some people believe that it is dangerous but many people are aware that it is just a common virus and that all this is a huge scam.

In the company where my friend works, It is strictly forbidden to mention covid.

Why do you think Sweden has escaped the madness?

I really don't know the answer to that question, for me everything was a bit difficult even with these small measures. There is nothing I didn't hear anything about chipping people, no one offered us, no one told us about it. At one technical college, they studied chip and putting a chip in people's hands. Maybe they did it with a few people solely to test how it affects people's lives. People in Sweden love nature very much and do not appreciate anything artificial. They choose food only if it is organic and as healthy as possible. Someone made a story out of it and someone else twisted that story and lied about a lot of things

Radoslaw also recently reported back from Scandinavia and confirmed what Gordana told us:

"Sweden didn't give in to hysteria and didn't impose the lockdown. For that it was criticised, chastised and laughed at. Although they didn't lock down, their numbers do not differ much to those countries who imposed the most draconian measures.

What did they do differently?

Everything is kept open, businesses are not being told to close. You have a choice as from before the lockdown to visit. Except for a few additional plexiglass screens and a handful of stickers, everything looks normal.

I saw only one sign saying to keep your distance on the motorway and I spent three days there and travelled quite a distance. All businesses are essential: barbers, nail shops and beauticians are not being slammed with £27,000 fine for staying open. Tattooist's shop doors are not being forced in by a police squad with council staff and locksmiths.

Is everything so good out there? Society in Sweden is much more obedient and Sweden is a nanny state. That means people will be more likely to adhere to the guidelines of social distancing. Fear there is a big tension that I could feel when talking to random pedestrians, because of information from the media. A young lad on a scooter told me with broken English, that he cannot lead a normal life, due to restrictions.

So far there are no plans to roll out mandatory vaccines, nor are tests mandatory. There is also no bribe from the government – if you feel like you want to self-isolate, you do it out of your own pocket – this of course is a game-changer.

Surgeries and doctor appointments are available. On public transport, only the front line of seats is blocked on the bus and except for the first three weeks in March, no toilet paper crisis or buying frenzy happened. Masks are not mandatory."







What did the government/media/ people say about the response in the rest of the world?

Media reported poorly on other countries, everything we heard was through social networks. If media talked about other countries, they were brief, maybe how many were infected in other countries or some measures other countries took to protect themselves from the virus.

I have not heard the Swedish government comment on other countries. People were shocked disappointed because if they were positive, they could stay home for 6 weeks.

What is life like now in general? In late August, early September, gns and tanes were removed all

signs and tapes were removed all over the city, which they put up in June to show people that we have to make a distance between us.

"It all disappeared overnight, all signs, stickers, ribbons. Everything was removed. Everything was as before."

Information appears on the



were here in Sweden that you HAVE to do, everything is left to the people to make their own decision how they want.

And it's not 80% cashless with thousands of people chipped?

It is true that many stores have withdrawn the use of cash, you can pay with applications, phone or card. But in many places you can pay with cash, some people like to have cash in hand because they are so used to it, especially foreigners and older people who can hardly master modern technology.

Aussie Cops Question Enforcement Tactics

s police wade in to crowds of peaceful protesters all over the world 'just following orders', a brave senior constable, Alexander Cooney, from NSW Australia has written an open letter to his government, signed by colleagues, exposing the covid-19 narrative for the harm it is causing to police and their relations with the public.

As a result, like many professionals speaking out from their informed positions of responsibility, his career is on the line as his duty status is now under review pending investigation.

The comprehensive, well-researched letter, dated October 26th, is addressed to NSW Commissioner of Police Michael Fuller, outlining the arguments against the mainstream narrative, particularly the use of faulty testing–highlighting that penalties cannot morally and

by ANDREA HOLBROOK

legally be applied if the standard of measurement is obviously faulty.

He highlights that, as police officers, they are only too aware of the socio-economic implications of a run down economy and that in years to come the price to pay for the current lockdowns will be heavy indeed. Senior Constable Cooney shares concerns, with many front line workers, who may be first in line for a rushed and, potentially mandatory vaccine, to supposedly immunise from a low risk virus, developed by companies with very dubious histories.

Towards the end of the letter he answers a question that many people have pondered—have the front line workers not realised that by enforcing the rules and going along with the system they are eroding their own rights and that of their families as well?

Citing the bio-security measures he reminds his seniors that they do not have the ability to breach 'carte blanche' human rights, calling the current situation an oppressive regime and warning of a global takeover whereby the police are being used as a tool of oppression. These are strong words from a brave man who has clearly now come to fear for the safety of himself and his own family as well as the wider community of country and, indeed, world citizens.

The letter from 'Cops for Covid Truth', has been signed by 70 Melbourne police officers so far.

In the UK a special constable from Avon and Somerset has made a notice public regarding her resignation stating that she can no longer in good conscience play a part in enforcing these regulations. She said she joined up to help people. It seems right and proper that police officers, as well as other front line workers with information, should come forward—after all, they took on a role to uphold the law and protect the public.

When they are asked to enforce questionable laws and simply enforce them, without questioning the legality of their actions, then perhaps they should be the first to question the purpose of their job – which is to protect and serve the public.

Around the world we can see the relationship and trust between the public and the police eroding as strong-arm tactics are being used on genuinely concerned, decent citizens.

I myself witnessed such behaviour in Trafalgar Square on the 19th of September. I have never before seen such a shocking display of force in the quantity of riot police, horses, vans, dogs – all for a very peaceful protest of concerned citizens. I would have been shocked to see such a militaristic display even at a particularly rivalrous football match.

I witnessed the strategies of bullying and agitation of the crowd, then the full on unprovoked assault. The police went down dramatically in my estimation that day. I'm also sure that many of those officers, and ones put in similar positions around the world, are not at peace with themselves at all. Maybe coming clean, like the Melbourne officers, might help to ease their minds.

'Open Letter Concerning the Police Enforcement of ongoing covid-19 restrictions' by Alexander Cooney Senior Constable Coffs/Clarence Highway Patrol NSW Police Force, Grafton Police Station, can be read at: https://bit.ly/cops-for-covid-truth

Dr. Fauci Will See You Now

It's unlikely that Dr Anthony Fauci, Chief Medical Advisor to the US president, shed a tear when Donald Trump failed to win the election (although the contested outcome is likely to be settled in court).

Joe Biden, his apparent successor, is more Fauci's type of president, just as he is Biden's type of expert.

The covid-19 pandemic has been such a boon to progressive globalists that some wonder whether the whole event was planned, partly to dislodge Trump, the biggest obstacle to the Great Reset.

Many people would perceive this as wacky conspiracy theory, but there is no doubt that the contagion (whether naturally occurring or not) has been exploited by powerful forces. The likes of Fauci, Bill Gates and Klaus Schwab have influence far beyond that of elected national leaders.


As director of the National Institute of Allergy & Infectious Diseases, a division of the US National Institutes of Health, Fauci played an important role in research on coronaviruses. He approved a research grant of \$3.7 million titled 'understanding the risk of bat coronavirus emergence'. A major site for this 'gain of function' work (whereby viruses are made more virulent in humans, for purposes of prevention or treatment) was the Wuhan Institute of Virology. Under Obama's government, when this grant was awarded, safety concerns led to closure of similar research at an American laboratory.

by NIALL McCRAE

Presumably on the order of Donald Trump, in April 2020 NIAID grant payments were suspended. causing furore in the media. Chinese scientists were gaining most while the USA was paying the bill, but Trump was also listening to experts who believe that covid-19 emanated from the Wuhan Institute of Virology rather than from a food market. In June, when a Democrat congressman asked why the grant was terminated, Fauci said 'it was cancelled because the NIH was told to cancel it. I don't know the reason, but we were told to cancel it.

Chinese scientists must be overjoyed at Trump's defeat: Fauci will turn the taps on again, sending millions of US taxpayers' dollars their way. A week after the election, on 12th November, Fauci participated in a webinar for the British thinktank Chatham House. He clearly expected that the global medicotechnocratic regime will surge ahead now that Trump is heading to the golf course. Statewide and international cooperation is vital to disease control, he asserted.

"When you have an infectious disease, it doesn't matter if you have a country with 50 different states, what happens in one part of the country is going to influence what is happening in another part of the country. Just like when something happens in one part of the world, when you have a respiratory transmittable disease, you can be darned sure it's going to happen in



another part of the world. As we move forward, what I would like to see is that uniformity of response."

Seeking nationwide enforcement of social distancing and masks, Fauci is in tune with Biden's plan to lock down the country, a plan that made feminist Naomi Wolf regret voting for him: 'If I'd known Biden was open to lockdowns as he now states, which is something historically unprecedented in any pandemic, and a terrifying practice, one that won't ever end because elites love it, I would never have voted for him.'

Fauci rejected President Trump's decision to withdraw funding from the World Health Organisation. He looked forward to a vaccine but warned that it cannot be eradicated, and as immunity is temporary, repeated doses would be required.

The most revealing comments by Fauci were on the political divide. He observed polarisation in relation to public health and science, but he said 'I do hope we can turn that around and I think we can'. Professor David Heymann of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine remarked that rejection of science seems particularly high in the United States, with mask-wearers likely to be Democrat supporters and Republicans refusing to wear them. Fauci enjoyed his reception and urged the British medical establishment: 'Please don't give up, the end is in sight'

Only the Supreme Court can stop Fauci now.

Central Banks Start Move Into Digital Currencies

It is no revelation that central banks are actively engaged in both the research and piloting of central bank digital currencies (CBDC's), but the mechanics of how they are conducting their programmes is not as widely recognised.

This is largely because the information is buried within the pages of mundane reports, speeches and discussion papers. A few headlines might be glossed over in the financial press, but the technical aspects are usually not considered.

In January 2019 the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) published a paper called, 'Proceeding with caution – a survey on central bank digital currency'. I briefly covered the survey in an article published back in September 2019. Back then the BIS reported that a majority of banks were engaged in active research on CBDC's, but none were yet in a position to launch a digital variant of physical money.

The BIS followed this survey up in January 2020 with some new research – 'Impending arrival – a sequel to the survey on central bank digital currency'.

We learn that the 70% of central banks that were researching CBDC's has now risen to 80%, with many of them reliant on 'research conducted by international organisations (in particular the BIS and the International Monetary Fund) or regional networks'. The BIS Innovation Hub initiative, established in the summer of 2019, has been key in coordinating the advancement of CBDC projects worldwide. This is one of the reasons why banks are operating in lockstep with one

by **STEVEN GUINNESS**

As the survey points out, 'collaboration through international vehicles such as the BIS Innovation Hub will be necessary to avoid any unforeseen international consequences.'

General manager of the BIS, Agustin Carstens, expanded on this in the institution's quarterly review:

The Hub will catalyse collaborative efforts among central banks, and cooperate, when appropriate, with academia. financial service providers and the broader private sector to develop public goods for the benefit of the global financial system. As the Hub gathers experience, a home-grown agenda will quickly be developed. A key question informing the BIS Innovation Hub's work is whether money itself needs to be reinvented for a changing environment, or whether the emphasis should be on improving the way it is provided and

In regards to CBDC, there are two variants. The first is a wholesale offering, which would be used to facilitate payments exclusively between financial sector firms. The second, known as a retail or general purpose CBDC, would be for use by the public. It is the latter which is of increasing interest to central banks.

According to the survey, a general purpose CBDC could prove as either a substitute or a complement to banknotes. A similar position was taken within a discussion paper on CBDC published by the Bank of England in March. In the foreword to the paper, former governor Mark Carney stated that any CBDC would act as a 'complement to physical

banknotes'.

However, in March 2019 Agustin Carstens was adamant that the introduction of CBDC would mean an end to cash

Like cash, a CBDC could and would be available 24/7, 365 days a year. At first glance, not much changes for someone, say, stopping off at the supermarket on the way home from work. He or she would no longer have the option of paying cash at all though–all purchases would be electronic.

Who is right? I believe that allowing cash to co-exist with a CBDC is not what global planners have in mind long term. For a period of time this may prove the case, but eventually when cash usage drops to perhaps less than a tenth of all transactions is when we would see the beginning of a process to eliminate cash from circulation completely. All remaining banknotes in your possession would be converted into CBDC.

As the survey outlines, just under 50% of the world's central banks are 'investigating the public's use of cash and a third are concerned that access to cash could decline in the medium term'

Cash use has been gradually declining over the last ten years, but since the start of the covid-19 plandemic it has collapsed to new lows. One thing the survey is clear on is that 'cash use is the key to driving many central banks' plans'. Whether covid-19 will result in the time frame for introducing CBDC's being brought forward is so far unknown.

If the BIS paper is an accurate indicator, then around 70% of central banks see it as unlikely that they would issue any form of CBDC 'in

the foreseeable future'. But then again banks have apparently moved away from classifying the implementation of a CBDC as 'possible', which the survey suggests may be a sign that 'research and experiments' are 'helping to clarify a firmer stance on issuing a CBDC in the near term'.

For clarity, the BIS considers near term as anywhere from one to three years, and the medium term anywhere from one to six years.

Right on time, Cambodia officially launched a central bank-backed digital currency in November that draws on blockchain technology designed by Japanese financial technology startup Soramitsu Company.

The e-money "Bakong", an initiative of the National Bank of Cambodia, joins a very small group of digital currencies backed by central banks that have gone fully operational.

Bakong, which supports transactions in the dollar and riel, the Cambodian currency, is expected to help Cambodians make payments and transfer money between individuals using their smartphones.

The e-money can be used through the mobile app installed on a smartphone. While the number of Cambodians who have traditional bank accounts is limited, smartphones have reached every corner of the Southeast Asian country.

The central bank began its pilot use in July last year. So far about 20 financial institutions have participated in the project, with dozens more expected to join.

Users of the mobile app can make payments and transfer money from their e-wallets by scanning QR codes or tapping in phone numbers.

Pen Chenda, a senior official of the Cambodian Association of Finance and Technology, said Bakong would particularly benefit small businesses due to costs and risks associated with handling cash.

Meanwhile, Lebanon's central bank also plans to launch its new digital currency in 2021 as part of a broader effort to combat a parallel economic and financial crisis that has engulfed the country.

Central bank governor Riad Salameh told a gathering of officials that "We must prepare a Lebanese digital currency project as a way to shore up confidence in the banking system.

As for the monetary supply in the Lebanese market, it is estimated that there is \$10 billion stored inside homes," Salameh said, according to the state-run National News Agency.

The central banker added that a digital currency project launched in 2021 will help implement a cashless financial system to enhance the flow of money locally and abroad.

Banque Du Liban, the country's central bank, has been considering the idea of a state-run digital currency since at least 2018. Efforts appear to have accelerated earlier this year after violent protests and silent bank runs brought Lebanon's financial system to a halt.

Faced with a dollar crisis, banks tightened restrictions on foreign currency transactions, with at least one major institution limiting withdrawals to just \$400 a month. A plunging Lebanese lira made it almost impossible to transact in the local currency.

https://bit.ly/steven-guinness

UK PUBLIC BORROWING 20/21: £398.5 Billion (OBR)

UK AVERAGE WAGE: £26,500 (ONS)

UK AVERAGE HOUSE PRICE: £244,513 (Land Registry)

BITCOIN: \$18082 (Trading View)

Pensioners and Savers Hit Again By Government In Spending Review

Once again a UK government have shifted the goalposts making it that bit tougher for those who have worked hard and saved wisely all of their lives to enjoy their retirement at a certain level of comfort and peace of mind.

Not content with attacking the elderly physically and mentally, now Chancellor Rishi Sunak announced in the House of Commons on Wednesday 25th November that they are reducing the amount of interest paid on peoples' lifetime investments by switching the measure from RPI to the lower CPI–currently this is a difference of around 0.8%. The only

saving grace is that this change will not take place until 2030.

This difference may not sound like a lot at first, but when compounded over many years it makes a difference of many thousands of pounds, and it's money that people who have paid into the system all their lives rely on to support them through the years when they are not able to work, their reward for a lifetime of service.

Steven Cameron, Aegon pensions director, calculated how much it could cost retirees. "With people living longer, a pension can last for 30 years and while 0.8% might not seem like much, over 30 years it can make a

big difference. Someone whose initial pension is £10,000 would with a 3% compound increase each year be receiving £24,273 in 30 years time, but with 2.2% increases, that would be £19,209 or a fifth less."

£5000 per year would make a big difference to most peoples' budgets.

Tom Selby, senior analyst at AJ Bell, said: "This looks set to include millions of defined benefit scheme members whose pensions are linked to RPI," he said.

"In addition, those who have bought annuities from insurance companies promising annual RPI inflation rises will also be hit." If we continue to encourage borrowing and discouraging saving like this, what is the likely end result for this nation?



LIBOR vs SONIA

Trecently learned that my mortgage provider is switching the interest rate calculation mechanism on my 13 year old mortgage from LIBOR to SONIA sometime in 2021 and whilst this initially caused me to investigate the ramifications for my personal financial situation I was equally interested in the implications for the global market.

by **GEOFF DYKES**

crisis of 2008, together with the dwindling number of interbank borrowing transactions caused regulators to question LIBOR's future and decided it would be appropriate to move towards a risk free rate (RFR) – a rate of return on an investment with zero risk of financial loss. This means that



For those unfamiliar with the acronym, LIBOR (London Inter-Bank Offered Rate) is defined as a charge for unsecured lending between banks and the rate is determined by the equilibrium between supply and demand on the funds markets. It is calculated for five currencies (US dollar, Pound sterling, Euro, Japanese Yen and Swiss Franc) and different periods ranging from 1 day to 1 year. It has been the world's most widely used benchmark for short-term rates since its introduction in 1986.

The widespread manipulation of LIBOR after the global financial

around the world new mechanisms will be launched for each currency and, in the case of the UK, the Bank of England will be responsible for administering SONIA (Sterling Overnight Index Average).

There is little information currently available for borrowers as LIBOR's replacements are not yet fully operational but there are websites of banks, accountancy firms and lawyers such as Stevens & Bolton LLP from whose site I have taken the following quotes:

What is the key difference between LIBOR and SONIA?

The key difference is that LIBOR is forward-looking – it is agreed at the start of an interest period. SONIA is backward-looking – it cannot be determined until the end of an agreed interest period. This means that borrowers will no longer have upfront certainty about the amount of their interest payments, and will require relatively last-minute calculations of the interest due.

What does the transition mean for borrowers?

Pricing. Will SONIA based loans be cheaper? Probably not. We are already seeing that lenders will want the same "all in" interest rate return. SONIA is lower than LIBOR because it does not include the credit/liquidity risk premium noted above. Lenders are therefore likely to increase the margin or add a "credit adjustment spread" to cover the difference.

It will also mean reduced certainty over interest rates and payments.

What has intrigued me most about all this is, that at a time when rumours persist of a move to a one world currency, we are actually seeing the devolution of the global lending mechanism with the equivalent of SONIA being established not only in the countries of the original LIBOR currencies but also other major currencies such as the Hong Kong, Singapore and Australian dollars.

The original proposal to replace LIBOR came from Andrew Bailey in July 2017, then CEO of the Financial Conduct Authority and current governor of the Bank of England whose Financial Stability Report at the time is quoted as saying that "the continued reliance of global financial markets on LIBOR poses a risk to financial stability that can only be reduced through a transition to alternative risk-free rates (RFRs) by end 2021."

The Bank of England formed a working group to oversee the transition and in January 2018, it was reconstituted and now includes banks and dealers, investment managers, non-financial corporates, infrastructure providers, trade associations and professional services firms. This group includes representatives from UK, European and USA major banks and investment firms plus Shell, GlaxoSmithKline, the National Grid, Associated British Ports and IBA (ICE Benchmark Administration) – the latter is a Fortune 500 company that owns the registered trademark LIBOR and thus piqued my curiosity.

Founded in 2000 by Jeffrey Sprecher, IBA (according to its website) provides "marketplace infrastructure, data services and technology solutions to a broad range of customers including financial institutions, corporations and government entities."

Sprecher was originally involved in energy trading and the year before

the Enron collapse he obtained 80% funding from Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley to set up Intercontinental Exchange (ICE) with control shared with Shell, Total and BP. Consequently his business took off overnight when the market leader collapsed.

Earlier this year Sprecher and his wife, Kelly Loeffler (newly appointed US senator), were discovered to have sold off millions of dollars of stock in companies vulnerable to the effects of the pandemic whilst investing in others that have profited from it.

On March 20, 2020, the consumer advocacy group Common Cause filed complaints against Sprecher and Loeffler with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Senate Ethics Committee, and the United States Justice Department, requesting from the latter a criminal investigation of the couple for violations of the STOCK Act yet it appears that the matter has gone away.

In conclusion, I am left no wiser as to what to expect with my mortgage repayments over the remaining 18 years but increasingly concerned about the infiltration of questionable US corporations in our everyday lives.

For more information: https://bit.ly/stevens-bolton https://bit.ly/boe-libor-transition https://bit.ly/hermes-libor https://bit.ly/ms-libor

Massive Pay-Outs for Executives of Pharmaceutical Companies Developing Coronavirus Vaccines

Top officials from pharmaceutical companies Pzifer, Moderna and Novavax have recently been busy selling large proportions of their shares. All three firms are currently developing a fast-track vaccination for coronavirus.

On the 9th of November, Pzifer CEO Albert Bourla cashed out on 62% of his shares (£4.2 million), the same day that his US company Pzifer announced a breakthrough in a vaccine for coronavirus.

Another contender in the race for an "effective" vaccination is biotech firm Moderna Therapeutics. CEO Stephane Bancel, President Stephen Hoge and Chief Medical Officer Tal Zaks have sold millions worth of their shares in recent months. According to Harvard Law School Professor Jesse Fried, "this may be their one shot at making a boatload of money if the vaccine doesn't work out".

by **PAUL BENNETT**

Pharma company Novavax, who are in the latter stages of clinical trials in developing a vaccine has seen executives including the CEO Stanley Erck pocket millions of dollars by selling some of their company shares recently.

In April, a US governmental public-private partnership initiative called 'Operation Warp Speed' was established to facilitate development, manufacturing, and distribution of covid-19 vaccines, therapeutics, and diagnostics. According to calculations from Accountable US, a nonpartisan watchdog and advocacy group, executives and directors at five of the companies receiving vaccine funding through 'Operation Warp Speed' made more than \$145 million by selling shares from the time period between May and August of this year.

There is of course nothing illegal or wrong with the sale of shares in one's company. However, many deals were part of a pre-scheduled trading plan and Professor Daniel Taylor from the University of Pennsylvania Wharton Business School asks the question "what did the executives know at the time so that they prescheduled the trade?"

The timing of massive pay-outs for the top bosses in the powerful and lucrative world of 'Big Pharma' is highly questionable and worrying. During a time of great uncertainty and nervousness about the roll out of the coronavirus vaccinations, and possible mandatory enforcement of the vaccine in 2021, one must question their motives.

Only time will tell.



Disability By Design: Covid-19, Titanium Dioxide and Electromagnetic Radiation

hat has been described as a viral epidemic is in this writer's opinion a complex interplay of electromagnetic forces on food additive E171 or titanium dioxide.

There has been an absolute abuse of science and language in describing titanium dioxide as a food additive, which has allowed food and pharmaceutical manufacturers to include this synergistic poison in their products.

There is ample evidence of this corruption, in scientific and medical papers, where disease after disease has its origins in exposure to titanium dioxide. by **ROBIN D P WATSON**

But, despite my attempts for eight years to alert the media, politicians and doctors to this fact, the response to this information has been dismal. It appears many groups have lost the ability to think critically for themselves and more importantly care less about its effects on people.

Titanium dioxide is not a nutrient. It is insoluble and excoriates vascular systems within the body. It bioaccumulates in organs, reducing their ability to function properly and it reacts to various frequencies of electromagnetic radiation.

Titanium dioxide is in fact a weapon, for it has many applications in weapon systems including Nanothermite and depleted munitions.

Recent forensic research has revealed that the genuine victims of covid-19 have died from a process called disseminated-intravascular-coagulation (DIC). It is a process from which the body is overcome by a cascade of micro thrombi or blood clots. There is research showing that this process can be used in a positive sense to reduce haemorrhages. Where titanium dioxide and ultrasound can be used to effect limited coagulation thus prevent bleeding in accident victims.

But not understood, by a great many researchers, is the fact that the cause of covid-19 and its many variants such as 'long covid' is this complex interplay of elements.

Many victims of covid-19
have been predisposed to having
their immunity status critically
lowered by prolonged exposure
to Electromagnetic Frequencies
(EMF) from photo voltaics (cheap
solar panels) which produce adverse
electrical fields. This has been the
case of many victims in nursing
homes in Australia and many of
those who sailed away on 'floating
microwave ovens,' the cruise ships

where passengers were subjected to high levels of 5G.

The resulting confusion created by the media and politicians, where victims suffering with pre-existing comorbidities, is easily understood when all the elements of the puzzle are put together in the right sequence.

We should bear in mind that the comorbidities in question are the result of prolonged exposure to titanium dioxide, either from food or pharmaceutical products.

Robin D P Watson is the author of the Nano Blenders Thesis.

https://bit.ly/nano-blenders

Germ Theory v Terrain Theory: Why You've Probably Never Heard Of Antoine Béchamp

Terrain Theory has become a talking point recently, after being spoken about by medical professionals, scientists and health enthusiasts in the wake of the current pandemic. A quick search for Terrain Theory on the Internet provides a Wikipedia entry of, 'Germ Theory denialism'. I'm taken aback. Why would something be defined by what it isn't?

A deeper dive tells us that Terrain Theory actually forms the basis for most ancient healing systems and is well respected by many wellness and health experts. The theory is based on strengthening the environment of the host so that disease cannot flourish in a healthy body. The analogy often used to compare the two schools of thought is this: if a fish is sick, Terrain Theory says you should clean the water that the fish swims in. Germ Theory treats the symptoms of the fish and ignores the dirty water.

Modern Western medicine is based on Germ Theory. We have accepted it into our narrative in the same way we have embraced taxes and war. It just is. Most of us have never considered any alternative explanations this side of medical school, and perhaps not even then.

Germ Theory posits that we become ill when infected by micro-organisms in our external environment. It makes sense; there is a whole industry built upon it. On further investigation, we find that Germ Theory was debunked in 1918 when the Boston Health Department failed to infect 300 healthy patients with the Spanish Flu; they could not intentionally replicate the virus. It is


by **LOUIZE SMALL**

said that not a single virus has been proven to exist, and that in order for a virus to be correctly identified, it has to be isolated, reproduced, and shown that symptoms develop in line with expectation (known as the Koch's Postulates test). This has reportedly never taken place.

In 2017, German microbiologist
Dr Stefan Lanka offered €100,000 to
anyone who could prove the existence
of the measles virus. There was an
initial claim but it was overturned
by the Supreme Court due to the
composite nature of the evidence
— meaning it was a faked image,
made from different components of
damaged cells. You'd think medical
students and rookie scientists would
be falling over themselves to claim
the money, but they weren't and have
not been able to do so.

The work of Antoine Béchamp may offer an explanation. Using high-magnification microscopes, Béchamp was able to see bacteria and other material coming out from cells (endogenous), rather than entering them (exogenous). Furthermore, he suggested that illness is the body's way of ridding itself of toxins and is the result of a weakened immune system. His findings were largely ignored or suppressed by the mainstream but other scientists have since picked up where he left off (see footnote).

Béchamp was a lifelong rival of Louis Pasteur. It was Béchamp who brought the process of fermentation to understanding but his ideas were stolen. The French Academy of Sciences accused Pasteur of plagiarising and suppressing Béchamp's work. As a result of his activities, Pasteur enjoyed greater commercial recognition and he was credited with the bacterial discoveries that catalysed medical advancement in the late 1800s. He was instrumental in bringing Germ Theory to the front lines of modern healthcare. Microbes and pathogens were identified and drugs and vaccines were created to combat them.



The Flexner Report of 1910 (funded and published by the Carnegie Foundation with the intention of promoting medication as treatment) further imposed microbe medicine on the masses and sought to rid the world of 'quackery'. Medical schools were forced to follow strict rules in terms of what they could teach and if they didn't comply, they were shut down. Naturopathic practitioners were discredited and pushed out of the system. Prior to the

report, medical schools had a choice about what they could teach and even taught naturopathic methods. Afterwards, there was no choice. It was the Flexner rules or nothing.

The new way was one of research laboratories and drugs. Industrial giants funded studies and medical schools became affiliated with universities. Of course, excellence in science is not the same as excellence in care. Pharmaceutical success is judged by profits, not by the amount of people it has helped or cured.

CLEAN THE TANK



Hippocrates, often considered the father of modern medicine, is quoted as saying: "It is more important to know what sort of person has a disease than to know what sort of disease a person has".

During the current pandemic we've heard of those with existing conditions not faring so well. Some people have had mild symptoms; some have been in bed for a while, and others have truly suffered.

Why is this? If Germ Theory

were 100% correct, then surely we would all have the same response. Germ Theory does not account for or explain many diseases, such as cancer, heart disease, diabetes, and degenerative and chronic conditions. There is clearly a gap in the conventional wisdom of how our bodies work and why we become ill.

Naturopathic practitioner and respected research scientist, Dr. Robert O. Young, believes that one origin of common modern disease is chemical poisoning, which invades our bodies from myriad sources – air, food, water, vaccines, pesticides, fungicides, herbicides, all of which do not come from nature. All are man-made, denatured or altered in some way.

There is a lot to be said for living a healthy lifestyle: getting out in the fresh air, exercising, drinking pure water and eating lots of fruits and vegetables; it makes us feel better, cleaner and more vital. Too often, we are lazy and want a quick fix for our ailments so we take a tablet and outsource responsibility for our health to medical establishments.

We want it to go away all by itself, as if by magic, but making changes to our diet, forming better habits and eliminating toxins in our internal environments may be a better place to start to restore our bodies to optimum health.

For more information on the science behind Terrain Theory, check out the work of Antoine Béchamp, Raymond Rife, Günther Enderlein, Gaston Naessens and Archie Kalokerinos.

Pfizer – A Name You Can Trust?

B efore Pfizer's recent supposed breakthrough with the coronavirus vaccine, most people's knowledge of the pharmaceutical giant was limited to that little blue pill that keeps men up all night.

But with the nation divided on whether or not they'll be having the vaccine, it might be time to take a dive into the corporation's history before any decisions are made regarding safety and trustworthiness.

Founded in 1849 by German-American Charles (Karl) Pfizer, the company was originally a purveyor of 'fine chemicals'. Its first success was Santonin, a drug derived from daisies and used to combat intestinal worms. The development of an alternative method of citric acid production led to a huge boom for Pfizer, whose sales had already reached \$3.4 million by 1906.

The discovery of the antibiotic Terramycin in 1950 heralded Pfizer's transition to a researchbased pharmaceutical company. In the following decade they expanded globally and began to market their products aggressively - sometimes unethically. Advertising in American medical journals, they published fraudulent endorsements by fictitious physicians and have made unsubstantiated claims, consistently promoting unapproved (off-label) use of their medicines.

In 1958. Pfizer were accused of making false statements to the Patent Office in order to obtain a patent for the antibiotic Tetracycline. They were also charged with price-fixing and found guilty of conspiring to create a monopoly, along with American Cyanamid and Bristol-Myers. It cost them \$150 million to settle the claims in a case that spanned decades.

by **LOUIZE SMALL**

Scandal struck again in the 1980s with a product safety issue concerning defective heart valves. It was claimed that Pfizer deliberately misled regulators about the hazards of the valves. 125 people died as a result of their negligence and tens of thousands spent their lives terrified of the ticking time bombs inside their bodies. Pfizer paid \$205 million in fines and a further \$9 million to monitor and/or replace the valves.

In 1996, following a meningitis outbreak in Kano, Nigeria, Pfizer set up an antibiotic trial. The unlicensed drug, Trovan, was given to 200 children without any official consent obtained from their parents. Eleven children died and many others developed mental illnesses, brain conditions, paralysis and deformities.

Parents and local governments took legal action against Pfizer and accused them of using the outbreak as an excuse to test experimental drugs.

Pfizer fought back, hard and dirty, but eventually agreed to a \$75 million out of court settlement and an extra \$35 million to fund local projects.

In a record case in the US in September 2009, Pfizer agreed to pay \$2.3 billion to resolve civil and criminal allegations that it had illegally marketed four drugs (Bextra, Geodon, Zvvox and Lvrica) for unapproved use. The off-label use of these medicines affected tens of thousands of people and caused many deaths. It was the biggest case of healthcare fraud ever known.

It has been said that Pfizer relied on researchers with a history of misconduct, in order to suppress unfavourable results and get the positive outcomes they wanted. The sales teams were no better, with one team of 100 agents focused solely on selling one drug (Bextra) off-label. Former sales representativeturned whistle-blower-John Kopchinski, said: "The whole culture of Pfizer is driven by sales, and if you didn't sell drugs illegally, you weren't seen as a team player.'

A 2017 report by the Reputation Institute ranked Pfizer last among the 17 top-ranked drug makers for reputation. It's not hard to see why. Their environmental record is equally appalling. They dump toxic waste, pollute the air and, in 1991, paid \$3.1 million to the Environmental Protection Agency for serious damage caused to the Delaware River by failing to install pollution-control equipment at their Pennsylvania plant.

It's also worth noting their record of bribery. Doctors and local officials in Eurasian countries were bribed to the tune of millions of dollars. A team in Italy gave medical professionals technology devices, holidays and cash payments under the guise of 'lecture fees and training,' in return for promises to recommend and prescribe Pfizer's products. A minimum of \$60 million has been paid to settle these charges of bribery.

A full summary of the company's misconduct would be far too lengthy to detail here so we'll move to the present time and talk about the

imminent vaccine.

Former Pfizer Chief Scientific Advisor, Dr. Michael Yeadon. expresses concerns that development is still in its infancy. The study is not due to be completed until December 2022 and only then will we know of any medium-term implications. (It usually takes 11 years to sufficiently trial a new product before it's brought to market). Yeadon says: "If any such vaccine is approved for use under any circumstances that are not explicitly experimental, I believe the public are being misled to a criminal extent."

The proposed Pfizer vaccine is a biotechnological drug in its infancy. Biotech medicines are fairly recent innovations: quality control and final product standardisation are harder to achieve and it is more difficult to find satisfactory monitoring and evaluation methods. Any contamination during the manufacturing process can result in erroneous activation of immune response.

The U.K. government has the power to 'temporarily authorise' the sale or supply of an unlicensed medicine in response to a suspected pathogenic agent. Amendments to the Human Medicines Regulations are being rushed through, with minimum consultation, to remove civil liability from vaccine manufacturers and those who administer unlicensed immunisations to the public.

Isn't it wise to be cautious or seriously concerned, given Pfizer's track record? The least we should do is to make sure we are informed of any potential harm, knowing there is little to no liability for those who wish to inject us with unlicensed biotechnological drugs.

Buying competitors and misleading drugs weren't the only factors in making Pfizer the giant pharmaceutical company that it is today, their special relations with doctors and professionals has also helped.

In 2004 Pfizer subsidiary Warmer-Lambert agreed to pay \$430m to resolve criminal charges that it paid physicians to prescribe its epilepsy drug Neurontin to patients with elements which the medication was not approved.

In 2010 a federal Jury found Pfizer committing racketeering fraud in its marketing of the drug and had to pay \$142.1m. Pfizer disclosed in the previous 6 month period that it had paid \$20m to 4,500 doctors and other professionals for consulting and speaking on Pfizers behalf.

In 2012 the US Securities Exchange commission announced that it had reached a \$45m settlement with Pfizer to resolve charges that its subsidiaries had bribed overseas doctors and other healthcare professionals to increase foreign sales.

Lionel Eddy

The Light **Word Puzzle**

by **GEOFF DYKES**

You can use the clues to complete both the crossword and the wordsearch puzzle, although the wordsearch only contains 12 of the 13 answers

Solve both by identifying the missing wordsearch answer

SOLUTION to Issue Three's Puzzle

ie, 4 Ho'oponopono, 6 Programming, rliament, 10 VK, 12 Kazakhstan,

DOWN2 Libor, 3 Tourism, 5 Obsolescence,


MISSING WORD - HO'OPONOPONO

CLUES

ACROSS


- 2 Santa Klaus of World Economic Forum
- 4 Royal charter of rights from 1215 5 Festive spin on Paul Daniel's 'like it, not a
- lot' catchphrase
- 6 Big tech's control of social media & search
- 9 Massacre in Manchester 1819
- 11 Pagan Roman winter solstice celebration12 Name for Global Pandemic Exercise held in 2019

- 1 First airline to mandate vaccines to fly
- Babylonian name for eclipse cycles
 UK's farcical withdrawal from EU
- 7 The first registered vaccine against Covid19 8 Country with world's largest economy
- 10 Co-star in December 21 planetary nent last witnessed in 1226



s	Q	R	С	Т	С	Н	K	Т	P	М	D	γ	L	J
T	A	L	P	D	F	S	E	ı	Н	В	М	F	U	L
F	ı	T	A	F	М	E	Н	X	w	S	Υ	P	A	X
L	T	J	N	G	В	S	ı	E	L	A	ı	М	В	X
S	U	A	E	A	R	K	K	R	E	T	T	X	0	ı
T	Q	G	w	0	Q	S	L	В	E	R	P	S	F	V
K	P	U	S	Q	S	P	T	R	В	A	W	Н	С	S
P	K	N	X	R	E	U	Z	S	J	С	F	В	Н	ı
0	E	K	D	S	A	T	U	R	N	A	L	ı	A	J
С	T	T	0	Н	S	N	J	X	0	N	Y	R	Н	X
K	X	R	E	С	Н	ı	N	A	R	G	С	U	E	N
Y	A	U	S	R	N	K	0	S	A	A	X	E	L	K
S	P	0	0	Q	L	V	Z	A	Y	М	A	L	w	E
D	N	V	В	W	Z	0	F	G	P	В	G	D	X	М
G	W	W	G	Y	W	V	0	W	В	V	E	Н	U	A





The HEART WHOODIE Campaign



Be cool and beautiful like Emilia - buy Heart Hoodies for you and your family for Christmas.

Ridiculously cheap, revolutionary chic. Get the word out on the street.

www.lifetech.org.uk/product/heart-hoodies



In times of ever increasing censorship, AEVA elevates the formidable voices that are speaking out and envisioning ways through the current insanity.


124 educational and inspiring pages on the current world crisis discussing the many layers of deception: Covid-19, Agenda 21 - Sustainable Development Goals, 5G and The Internet of Things/ Bodies and ultimately Transhumanism.

Download your digital edition of AEVA today when you join AEVA's membership. Limited edition printed copies available.





Founded in June 2020, Radio Q Camberley provides a platform for free speech, alternative debate and uncensored




We are constantly on the look-out for programme makers of all kinds; whether they be talk or music based - old or new; Radio Q Camberley believes in balance, choice and above all - truth.

Listen via Streema.com, MyTuner-Radio.com, Streamitter.com or through our website https://www.radioquk.com









Find out more:


grasp your natural potential

First published in Scotland 2018

Edgar Milne

Inspiring new book on Amazon / Lulu.com





FREEDOM BECKONS: YOUR COUNTRY NEEDS YOU!

Does something not quite add up anymore – are you doubting the narrative?

Feeling lonely, vulnerable, confused, looking for answers?

Want to do something to help but don't know where to start?

www.thefreedomnetwork.co.uk

NOW IS THE TIME TO BE UNITED ACROSS OUR KINGDOM!

We will come together, work together and unite together!

65 Freedom Hubs have now joined The Freedom Network, a non-political movement taking positive, peaceful action across the UK, simultaneously, to bring back freedom and return the country to us!

www.thefreedomnetwork.co.uk