

Intended for healthcare professionals

Editorials

Public inquiry into UK's response to covid-19

BMJ 2020; 369 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m2052> (Published 22 May 2020) Cite this as: BMJ 2020;369:m2052

Read our latest coverage of the coronavirus pandemic

Editorial

The UK's public health response to covid-19

- [Article](#)
- [Related content](#)
- [Metrics](#)
- [Responses](#)
- [Peer review](#)
- 

Martin McKee, professor of European public health¹, Mike Gill, former regional director of public health², Sarah Wollaston, former chair of health and social care select committee³

[Author affiliations](#)

Correspondence to: M McKee martin.mckee@lshtm.ac.uk

A rapid, transparent review is needed before a second wave

A public inquiry into the UK's response to covid-19 now seems inevitable, with political and public demands for one that can command widespread support.¹ While a comprehensive inquiry at some point will be essential, the traditional model, as used with Bloody Sunday² or the Iraq war,³ takes years. We do not have time. With some of the highest death rates anywhere and clear problems in implementing an effective, joined-up response, the UK needs answers within months, before a second wave develops.

This is already happening to some extent. Parliamentary committees have identified serious problems and will

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners. Our privacy and cookie policy [Show Vendors](#)

> [Cookie Settings](#)

✓ [Accept Cookies](#)

Although the prime minister's illness did not help, it is not clear that parliament has been able to scrutinise the actions of ministers. There are examples elsewhere that might be emulated, such as the parliamentary committee with an opposition majority that is scrutinising the New Zealand government's covid-19 response.⁹ A rapid inquiry cannot risk getting bogged down in the detailed scientific advice, but it will be crucial to examine how it was used, especially when there was uncertainty, as well as issues around transparency and independence.

The second is procurement of goods and services. A hollowed out civil service has long turned to outsourcing companies, despite their repeated failures.¹⁰ Companies with little relevant experience have struggled to organise viral testing¹¹ or contact tracing.¹² The task of coordinating activities with existing organisations, such as NHS laboratories or local public health departments, is too complex for their business model. The procurement of products, such as ventilators and protective equipment, has been beset by problems, echoing longstanding problems in the NHS.

The third is coordination of existing structures. The fragmentation created by the 2012 Health and Social Care Act in England has created major barriers to coordination and leadership across the Department of Health and Social Care, the NHS, Public Health England, and local public health departments.⁴ Similarly, the structural disconnect between the NHS and social care in England has contributed substantially to the pandemic's spread. The limited powers of local government, coupled with the absence of regional structures, also pose a problem. It is inexplicable that mayors of metropolitan authorities have been ignored by ministers.⁸

The fourth is the disproportionate burden on ethnic minority populations. Responses must draw on expertise in basic, clinical, and social sciences, with strong representation from the communities affected.

Finally, international collaboration is essential.¹³ The UK's engagement with its European neighbours was chaotic, with unconvincing excuses invoking overlooked emails. The looming crisis of a no deal Brexit,¹⁴ with shortages of food and medicines that could coincide with a second wave, is clearly a huge concern but is beyond the scope of a covid-19 inquiry. Nevertheless, we need to seek practical ways to overcome the obstacles that the government seems determined to create concerning the UK's future relationship with the EU.

Five criteria

This inquiry must meet five key criteria. It must be quick, broad, ambitious, able to command widespread public and stakeholder support, and focus on the most important weaknesses to date. Given the complexity of the issues involved, the need for specialist expertise, and for speed, we recommend a series of panels with membership capable of tackling these issues. Each panel would be asked to find workable solutions that do not require primary legislation or major organisational change, both of which can come later. Nor should panels seek to allocate blame.

Each panel should include a range of disciplines and experience, including those on the front line. The need to challenge accepted wisdom argues for the inclusion of members from other countries. Chairs of each panel could form the core of an overall panel working with others to synthesise cross-cutting issues. Achieving public trust will be difficult but essential, given the scepticism around the official Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE).

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners. Our privacy and cookie policy [Show Vendors](#)

> [Cookie Settings](#)

✓ [Accept Cookies](#)

be put in place to mitigate the worst aspects of what has proved to be a deeply dysfunctional system of governance and administration. It will be unforgivable if we fail to prepare for a second wave.

Footnotes

- Competing interests: We have read and understood BMJ policy on declaration of interests and declare that MMcK is a member of Independent SAGE.
- Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

<https://bmj.com/coronavirus/usage>

References

1. ↵Parker G. Peers demand post-crisis public inquiry 2020. *Financial Times* 2020 Apr 15. <https://www.ft.com/content/b4bc19e4-fc8d-4502-8e2b-d35a583e6b7f>
2. ↵Bloody Sunday Inquiry. *Report of the Bloody Sunday Inquiry*2020. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/report-of-the-bloody-sunday-inquiry>
3. ↵Iraq Inquiry. *The report of the Iraq Inquiry*2020. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-report-of-the-iraq-inquiry>
4. ↵Scally G, Jacobson B, Abbasi K. The UK's public health response to covid-19. *BMJ*2020;**369**:m1932. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1932 pmid:32414712 [FREE Full Text](#) [Google Scholar](#)
5. ↵Independent SAGE. COVID-19: what are the options for the UK? 2020. <http://www.independentsage.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/The-Independent-SAGE-Report.pdf>
6. ↵Jarman H, Greer SL, McKee M. Brexit is just a symptom: the constitutional weaknesses it reveals have serious consequences for health. *J Public Health (Oxf)*2020;**2020**:fdz180. doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdz180 pmid:31927582 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Google Scholar](#)
7. ↵Mason R. Boris Johnson's lockdown release condemned as divisive, confusing and vague: *Guardian* 2020 May 10. <https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2020/may/10/boris-johnson-coronavirus-lockdown-shops-schools-june-reopening>
8. ↵Helm T. Revolt over easing of coronavirus lockdown spreads as poll slump hits Boris Johnson. *Guardian* 2020 May 16. <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2020/may/16/revolt-over-easing-lockdown-spreads-as-poll-slump-hits-prime-minister>
9. ↵Bridges S. In the absence of parliament we must ensure New Zealand's response to covid-19 is the best it can be. *Guardian* 2020 Apr 3. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/apr/03/in-the-absence-of-parliament-we-must-ensure-new-zealands-response-to-covid-19-is-the-best-it-can-be>

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners. Our privacy and cookie policy [Show Vendors](#)

› [Cookie Settings](#)

✓ [Accept Cookies](#)

14. van Schalkwyk MCI, Barlow P, Stuckler D, et al. Assessing the health effects of a “no deal” Brexit. *BMJ* 2019;**366**:l5300. doi:10.1136/bmj.l5300 pmid:31466943 [FREE Full Text](#) [Google Scholar](#)

15. Parliamentary Commission on Banking Standards. <https://www.parliament.uk/bankingstandards> [Google Scholar](#)

[View Abstract](#)

- [Tweet](#)
- [Like](#) 287

[See other articles in issue 8247](#)

Article tools

[PDF](#) [6 responses](#)

- [Respond to this article](#)
- [Print](#)
- [Alerts & updates](#)

Article alerts

Please note: your email address is provided to the journal, which may use this information for marketing purposes.

Log in or register:

Username *

Password *

[Register for alerts](#)

- [If you have registered for alerts, you should use your registered email address as your username](#)
- [Citation tools](#)

Download this article to citation manager

McKee Martin, Gill Mike, Wollaston Sarah. Public inquiry into UK's response to covid-19 *BMJ* 2020; 369 :m2052

- BibTeX (win & mac)
- EndNote (tagged)
- EndNote 8 (xml)
- RefWorks Tagged (win & mac)

[Download](#)
[Download](#)
[Download](#)
[Download](#)

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners. Our privacy and cookie policy [Show Vendors](#)

> [Cookie Settings](#)

- [RefWorks](#)
 - [Zotero](#)
 - [Request permissions](#)

 - [Author citation](#)
 - [Articles by Martin McKee](#)
 - [Add article to BMJ Portfolio](#)
 - [Articles by Sarah Wollaston](#)
- [Email to a friend](#)

Forward this page

Thank you for your interest in spreading the word about The BMJ.

NOTE: We only request your email address so that the person you are recommending the page to knows that you wanted them to see it, and that it is not junk mail. We do not capture any email address.

Username *
Your Email *
Send To *

You are going to email the following [Public inquiry into UK's response to covid-19](#)

Your Personal Message

CAPTCHA

This question is for testing whether or not you are a human visitor and to prevent automated spam submissions.

 I'm not a robot
reCAPTCHA
Privacy - Terms

Send

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners. Our privacy and cookie policy [Show Vendors](#)

> [Cookie Settings](#)

✓ [Accept Cookies](#)

- [UK jobs](#)
- [International jobs](#)

[Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust: Consultant Paediatric Anaesthetist](#)

[Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust: Consultant in ENT](#)

[East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust: Consultant in Histopathology](#)

[East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust: Consultant Haematologist](#)

[Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust: Consultant Neuroradiologist](#)

[View more](#)



Who is talking about this article?

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners. Our privacy and cookie policy [Show Vendors](#)

> [Cookie Settings](#)

✓ [Accept Cookies](#)

Paul P Glasziou et al., The BMJ, 2020

Chief coroner's guidance on covid-19 deaths: workers outside the NHS are also vulnerable to risk

Andrew E Watterson et al., The BMJ, 2020

Administration of end-of-life drugs by family caregivers during covid-19 pandemic

Ben Bowers et al., The BMJ, 2020

Covid-19: outbreak could last until spring 2021 and see 7.9 million hospitalised in the UK

Elisabeth Mahase, The BMJ, 2020

UK's DnaNudge Prepares Cartridge-Based PCR Test for COVID-19 Testing

Justin Petrone, 360Dx, 2020

SARS-CoV-2 Experience Will Help Agency Strike Right Balance With LDTs, FDA Says

Kelsy Ketchum, 360Dx, 2020

Do COVID-19 Vent Protocols Need a Second Look?

John Whyte et al., Medscape

Abortion Access Shifting in Some States Amid COVID-19

Tara Helle, Medscape

Management of coexisting conditions in the context of COVID-19

Editorial Team, Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), 2020

Powered by **TREND MD**

I consent to the use of Google Analytics and related cookies across the TrendMD network (widget, website, blog). [Learn more](#)

Yes

No

[Back to top](#)

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners. Our privacy and cookie policy [Show Vendors](#)

> [Cookie Settings](#)

✓ [Accept Cookies](#)

This week's poll

Should appraisal be replaced with reflective practice?

Yes

No

[Vote](#)

[View Results](#)

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners. Our privacy and cookie policy [Show Vendors](#)

[> Cookie Settings](#)

[✓ Accept Cookies](#)